arXiv:1507.03297v1 [math.NT] 13 Jul 2015

PERIODS AND (χ, b)-FACTORS OF CUSPIDAL AUTOMORPHIC FORMS OF SYMPLECTIC GROUPS (PRELIMINARY VERSION)

DIHUA JIANG AND CHENYAN WU

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new family of period integrals attached to irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations π of symplectic groups $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$, which is expected to characterize the right-most pole of the L-function $L(s, \pi \times \chi)$ for some order-two character χ of $F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times$, and hence to detect the occurrence of a simple global Arthur parameter (χ, b) in the global Arthur parameter ψ attached to π.

1. Introduction

Let π be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of an symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ with $\mathbb{A}$ the ring of adeles of a number field F. The tensor product L-functions $L(s, \pi \times \tau)$, with τ varying in the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of a general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_a(\mathbb{A})$, are important invariants associated to π. Langlands proves that this family of L-functions converges absolutely for the real part of s large and have meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane ([Lan71]). It remains to show that they satisfy the standard functional equation and have finitely many poles on the real line with $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Various approaches have been undertaken in order to establish these expected analytic properties of those L-functions. The recent progress includes the work of Arthur ([Art13])for the case when π has a global generic Arthur parameter, and also includes the Langlands-Shahidi method in [Sha10], and the work ([GJRS11] and [She13]) for general π. As discussed in ([Jia14, Section 8]), it is not hard to check that the location of the poles of the L-functions $L(s, \pi \times \tau)$ and the relevant theory to interpret the meaning

---

Date: July 14, 2015.

Key words and phrases. Arthur Parameters, Poles of L-functions, Periods of Automorphic Forms, Theta Correspondence, Arthur Truncation of Eisenstein Series and Residues.

The research of the first named author is supported in part by the NSF Grants DMS–1301567.

of the poles in the theory of automorphic representations are essentially to detect the occurrence of the simple global Arthur parameter (τ, b) in the global Arthur parameter ψ of π.

In this paper, we consider a special subfamily of those L-functions, that is, the L-functions $L(s, \pi \times \chi)$ with χ being order at most 2 characters of $F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times$. There are three different types of global zeta integrals, which represents this family of L-functions. The doubling method is given in [GPSR87] by Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis, which is regarded as the natural generalization of the method of Tate and of Godement-Jacquet. In their new way to get euler product in [PSR88], Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis use an idea based on the property that cuspidal automorphic representations are non-singular. The theory of non-singular automorphic forms has been established through the work of Howe for symplectic groups ([How81]) and of Li for general classical groups ([Li92]). The third method was outlined in [GJRS11] uses the Fourier-Jacobi coefficients of cuspidal automorphic forms. The idea is based on the extension of the Bernstein-Zelevinsky derivatives from general linear group to classical groups, which has now been used to produce the automorphic descent method of Ginzburg, Rallis and Soudry in [GRS11].

When this family of L-functions were represented by the doubling zeta integrals, the poles of the L-functions is closely related to the theta correspondences from symplectic groups to the towers of orthogonal groups via the regularized Siegel-Weil formula of Kudla and Rallis and the Rallis inner product formula ([KR94]). A complete theory using the values or poles of the L-functions $L(s, \pi \times \chi)$ to detect the local-global relation of the theta correspondences, which was initiated through a series of work of Rallis, has been given in recent papers of Yamana in [Yam14] and of Gan, Qiu and Takeda in [GQT14]. We refer to [GQT14] for the complete story of the theory and the relevant references in the topic.

The objective of this paper is to introduce a new family of period integrals attached to the cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ and to investigate the relation between the non-vanishing of such periods and the poles of the L-functions $L(s, \pi \times \chi)$. Hence as discussed in [Jia14], we obtain the relation between the non-vanishing of such periods attached to π and the occurrence of the simple global Arthur parameter (χ, b) in the global Arthur parameter ψ of π. The arguments presented in this paper is based on two lines of ideas. One is the work of Mœglin ([Mœg97a]), which gives a characterization of the first occurrence of the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ in the tower of orthogonal groups in terms of the right-most pole of the Eisenstein series $E(g, \phi_{\chi\otimes\pi}, s)$ built from (π, χ) for some order-two character χ of $F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times$. Although the L-function $L(s, \pi \times \chi)$ appears in the constant term of the Eisenstein series $E(g, \phi_{\chi\otimes\pi}, s)$, it is easy to deduce that the existence of the poles of the partial L-function $L^S(s, \pi \times \chi)$ implies that of the poles of the Eisenstein series $E(g, \phi_{\chi\otimes\pi}, s)$ in the corresponding location. However, it is not known in general whether the existence the poles of the Eisenstein series $E(g, \phi_{\chi\otimes\pi}, s)$ implies that the poles of the partial L-function $L^S(s, \pi\times\chi)$ in the corresponding location. It remains a hard problem to normalize properly the local intertwining operators involving cuspidal automorphic representations with non-generic global Arthur parameters. Another idea is to extend the work of [GJS09] to the current case for symplectic groups. As indicated in our previous work ([JW14a]), the periods introduced here for symplectic groups will be more complicated than those considered in [GJS09], and also those for unitary groups considered in [JW14a].

It is worthwhile mentioning that the periods considered in [GJS09] have been used in a recent work of Bergeron, Millson and Mœglin on Hodge type theorems for arithmetic manifolds associated to orthogonal groups ([BMM12]). It is expected that similar applications will be found for the periods studied in [JW14a] and [JW14b] for unitary groups and those investigated in this paper for symplectic groups ([BMM14] and [HH12]).

The paper is organized as follows.

2. Poles of Certain Eisenstein Series

We follow the line of ideas of Mœglin in [Mœg97a] to determine the poles of the family of Eisenstein series involved in the theory, which will be a base for arguments in the late sections. We introduce notations and state relevant results with proofs for convenience.

2.1. **Notation and the Basic Setting.**

Let F be a number field and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ its ring of adeles. Fix a non-trivial additive character ψ_F of $F \setminus \mathbb{A}$. We will write ψ for ψ_F where there is no ambiguity. Note that ψ is also used for global Arthur parameters as in the Introduction, and we will keep using it if necessary. Let X be a non-degenerate symplectic space over F of even dimension m. The symplectic pairing is denoted by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_X$. Let $\mathcal{H}_a$ denote a-copies of the hyperbolic plane. Let $e_1^+, \ldots, e_a^+, e_1^-, \ldots, e_a^-$ be the basis for $\mathcal{H}_a$ such that

$$\langle e_i^+, e_j^-\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_a} = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle e_i^+, e_j^+\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_a} = \langle e_i^-, e_j^-\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_a} = 0,$$

for $i, j = 1, \ldots, a$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Let ℓ_a^+ (resp. ℓ_a^-) be the span of e_i^+'s (resp. e_i^-'s). Then $\mathcal{H}_a$ has the polarisation

$$\mathcal{H}_a = \ell_a^+ \oplus \ell_a^-.$$

Let $X_a = X \perp \mathcal{H}_a$ be the $(m+2a)$-dimensional symplectic space. Let $G(X_a)$ be the isometry group which acts on the right on X_a. Let $Q_a = Q_{X_a}$ be the parabolic subgroup of $G(X_a)$ that stabilises the isotropic subspace ℓ_a^-. Let M_a be its Levi subgroup and N_a its unipotent radical. Then

$$M_a \cong \mathrm{GL}_a \times G(X).$$

With respect to the 'basis' ℓ_a^+, X, ℓ_a^-, for $x \in \mathrm{GL}_a$ and $h \in G(X)$, we set $m(x, h)$ to be the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & h & \\ & & x^* \end{pmatrix} \in M_a$$

where $x^* \in \mathrm{GL}_a$ is determined by x via the pairing of ℓ_a^+ and ℓ_a^-. An element in $G(X)$ will be naturally regarded as an element of $G(X_a)$. Fix a good maximal compact subgroup $K_a = K_{X_a}$ of $G(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$ such that the Iwasawa decomposition holds:

$$G(X_a)(\mathbb{A}) = Q_a(\mathbb{A})K_a.$$

For a non-degenerate subspace Z of X, set Z_a to be the subspace $Z \perp \mathcal{H}_a$ of X_a. Let Y be a non-degenerate quadratic space over F with symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_Y$. Similarly we form the extended quadratic space $Y_a = Y \perp \mathcal{H}_a$ where $\mathcal{H}_a$ becomes a split quadratic space. However Y_0 will always denote the anisotropic kernel of Y. The isometry group $G(Y_a)$ is considered to act on the left of Y_a. As in [KR94, (0.7)], let χ_Y be the character of $F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times$ given by

$$\chi_Y(a) = (a, (-1)^{\dim Y(\dim Y-1)/2} \det\langle\ ,\ \rangle_Y),$$

where $(\ ,\ )$ is the Hilbert symbol. Thus $\chi_Y = \chi_{Y_a}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Next we introduce some notation for use in the 'doubling method'. Let X' be the symplectic space with the same underlying space as X but with symplectic form

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{X'} = -\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{X'}.$$

We will naturally identify $G(X')$ with $G(X)$. Let W be the doubled space $X \perp X'$. Then W has the polarisation $X^\Delta \oplus X^\nabla$ where

$$X^\Delta = \{(x, x) \in W | x \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad X^\nabla = \{(x, -x) \in W | x \in X\}.$$

Also form the extended space W_a and let

$$\iota = \iota_1 \times \iota_2 : G(X_a) \times G(X') \to G(W_a)$$

denote the natural inclusion map. Then W_a has the polarisation

$$W_a = (X^\Delta \oplus \ell_a^+) \oplus (X^\nabla \oplus \ell_a^-).$$

Let P_a denote the Siegel parabolic subgroup of $G(W_a)$ that stabilises $X^\nabla \oplus \ell_a^-$.

2.2. **Poles of Certain Eisenstein Series.** We follow mostly the notation of [Art78]. The results in this section are not new. They are included for fixing notation and for completeness. We refer the readers to [Mœg97a] for details. See also [JW14a]. Consider the Eisenstein series on $G(X_a)$ associated to the parabolic subgroup Q_a. Since Q_a is a maximal parabolic subgroup, the space $\mathfrak{a}_{Q_a}^*$ is one-dimensional. We identify $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_{Q_a,\mathbb{C}}^*$ via the Shahidi normalisation:

$$s \mapsto s(\frac{m+a+1}{2})^{-1}\rho_{Q_a}$$

where ρ_{Q_a} is the half sum of the positive roots in N_a. As a result we sometimes regard ρ_{Q_a} as the number $(m+a+1)/2$.

Let H_a be the homomorphism $M_a(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathfrak{a}_{Q_a}$ such that for all $m \in M_a(\mathbb{A})$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}_{Q_a}^*$ we have

$$\exp(\langle H_a(m), \xi\rangle) = \prod_v |\xi(m_v)|_v.$$

Explicitly

$$\exp(\langle H_a(m(x,h)), s\rangle) = |\det(x)|_{\mathbb{A}}^s,$$

for $x \in \mathrm{GL}_a(\mathbb{A})$ and $h \in G(X)(\mathbb{A})$. We extend H_a to a function of $G(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$ via the Iwasawa decomposition.

Let χ be a quadratic character of $F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G(X))$, the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of $G(X)(\mathbb{A})$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_a(s,\chi,\sigma)$ the space of smooth $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions f on $N_a(\mathbb{A})M_a(F) \setminus G(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$ that satisfy the following properties:

(1) f is right K_a-finite;

(2) for any $x \in \mathrm{GL}_a(\mathbb{A})$ and $g \in G(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$, we have

$$f(m(x, I_X)g) = \chi(\det(x))|\det(x)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_a}} f(g);$$

(3) for any fixed $k \in K_a$, the function on $G(X)(\mathbb{A})$ given by

$$h \mapsto f(m(I_a, h)k)$$

is a smooth right $K_a \cap G(X)(\mathbb{A})$-finite vector in the space of σ.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}_a(0,\chi,\sigma)$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we get a section f_s of $\mathcal{A}_a(s,\chi,\sigma)$ given by

$$f_s(g) := \exp(\langle H_a(g), s\rangle) f(g),$$

which can be identified with a smooth section in $\mathrm{Ind}_{Q_a(\mathbb{A})}^{G(X_a)(\mathbb{A})}\chi|\ |_{\mathbb{A}}^s \otimes \sigma$. Form the Eisenstein series:

$$E^{Q_a}(g,s,f) = \sum_{\gamma \in Q_a(F)\setminus G(X_a)(F)} f_s(\gamma g).$$

By Langlands' theory of Eisenstein series, this is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}\, s > \rho_{Q_a}$ and has meromorphic continuation to the whole s-plane with finitely many poles in the half plane $\mathrm{Re}\, s > 0$, which are all real in our case. Let $\mathcal{P}_a(\sigma,\chi)$ denote the set of positive poles of $E^{Q_a}(g,s,f)$ for f running over $\mathcal{A}_a(0,\chi,\sigma)$. The number $s_0 > 0$ lies in $\mathcal{P}_a(\sigma,\chi)$ if and only if for some $f \in \mathcal{A}_a(0,\chi,\sigma)$, the Eisenstein series $E^{Q_a}(g,s,f)$ has a pole at $s = s_0$.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{P}_1(\sigma,\chi)$ is non-empty and let s_0 be its maximal member. Then for all integers $a \geq 1$, $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$ lies in $\mathcal{P}_a(\sigma,\chi)$ and is its maximal member.*

Proof. The proof for the unitary case [JW14a, Prop. 2.1] goes through word for word. □

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that the partial L-function $L^S(s,\sigma\times\chi)$ has a pole at $s = s_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ and that it is holomorphic for* $\mathrm{Re}\, s > s_0$. *Then for all integers $a \geq 1$, $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1) \in \mathcal{P}_a(\sigma,\chi)$.*

Proof. Since we consider the maximal (or the right-most) pole of the partial L-function, it is enough to consider the poles of the intertwining operator $M(s,w_\varnothing)$ where $w_\varnothing$ is the longest Weyl element in $Q_a \setminus G(X_a)/Q_a$. By the Gindikin-Karpelevich formula, we find the normalising factor to be

$$\prod_{1\leq j\leq a} \frac{L^S(s-\frac{1}{2}(a-1)+j-1,\sigma\times\chi)}{L^S(s-\frac{1}{2}(a-1)+j,\sigma\times\chi)}$$
$$\cdot \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq a} \frac{\zeta^S(2s-(a-1)+i+j-2)}{\zeta^S(2s-(a-1)+i+j-1)}$$

where ζ^S are partial Dedekind zeta functions. This can be simplified to $I_1 \cdot I_2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{L^S(s-\frac{1}{2}(a-1), \sigma\times\chi)}{L^S(s+\frac{1}{2}(a+1), \sigma\times\chi)}; \\ I_2 &= \prod_{1<j\le a} \frac{\zeta^S(2s-(a-1)+j-1)}{\zeta^S(2s-(a-1)+2j-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

There is an extraneous factor in the proof of [Mœg97a, Remarque 2] which should be absorbed into the Rankin-Selberg L-function.

At $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$, the numerator of I_1 has a pole and the denominator of I_1 does not have a pole; the numerator of I_2 does not vanish and the denominator of I_2 does not have a pole. Thus we conclude that $s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1) \in \mathcal{P}_a(\sigma, \chi)$. □

Next we relate the Eisenstein series $E^{Q_a}(s, g, f)$ on $G(X_a)$ to the Siegel Eisenstein series on the 'doubled group' $G(W_a)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $\mathbb{F}_s$ *be a* K_{W_a}*-finite section of* $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_a(\mathbb{A})}^{G(W_a(\mathbb{A}))}\chi|\ |_{\mathbb{A}}^s$ *and* $\phi \in \sigma$. *Define a function* $F_{\phi,s}(g_a)$ *on* $G(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$ *by*

$$F_{\phi,s}(g_a) = \int_{G(X)(\mathbb{A})} \mathbb{F}_s(\iota(g_a, g))\phi(g)dg. \tag{2.1}$$

Then the following hold.

1. *It is absolutely convergent for* $\mathrm{Re}\, s > (m+a+1)/2$ *and has meromorphic continuation to the whole* s*-plane;*
2. *It is a section of* $\mathcal{A}_a(s, \chi, \sigma)$ *and*
3. *We have*

$$\int_{[G(X)]} E^{P_a}(\iota(g_a, g), s, \mathbb{F}_s)\phi(g)dg = E^{Q_a}(g_a, s, F_{\phi,s}). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Formally we have

$$F_{\phi,s}(g_a) = \int_{[G(X)]} \sum_{\gamma\in G(X)(F)} \mathbb{F}_s(\iota(g_a, \gamma g))\phi(g)dg.$$

Since $\iota_2(G(X)(F)) \cap P_a(F)$ is trivial we have an embedding

$$G(X)(F) \hookrightarrow P_a(F) \setminus G(W_a)(F).$$

Thus the sum above is a partial sum of an Eisenstein series, which is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}\, s > \rho_{P_a} = (m+a+1)/2$. Since ϕ is cuspidal, the integral defining $F_{\phi,s}$ is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}\, s > (m+a+1)/2$.

Now we check if $F_{\phi,s}(g_a)$ is a section of $\mathcal{A}_a(s,\chi,\sigma)$. Since $\iota_1(N_{Q_a}(\mathbb{A}))$ is a subset of $N_{P_a}(\mathbb{A})$, $F_{\phi,s}$ is left invariant under $N_{Q_a}(\mathbb{A})$. For $t_a \in \mathrm{GL}_a(F)$, we have $\iota_1(m(t_a,1)) \in M_{P_a}(F)$ and hence $F_{\phi,s}$ is left invariant under the factor of $M_{Q_a}(F)$ that is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_a(F)$. Let h be an element in the subgroup $G(X)(\mathbb{A})$ of $M_{Q_a}(\mathbb{A})$. Noting that $\iota(h,h) \in P_a(\mathbb{A})$, We have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\phi,s}(hg_a) &= \int_{G(X)(\mathbb{A})} \mathbb{F}_s(\iota(hg_a,g))\phi(g)dg \\ &= \int_{G(X)(\mathbb{A})} \mathbb{F}_s(\iota(h,h)\iota(g_a,h^{-1}g))\phi(g)dg \\ &= \int_{G(X)(\mathbb{A})} \mathbb{F}_s(\iota(g_a,h^{-1}g))\phi(g)dg \\ &= \int_{G(X)(\mathbb{A})} \mathbb{F}_s(\iota(g_a,g))\phi(hg)dg. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $h \mapsto F_{\phi,s}(hg_a)$ is in the space of σ.

For meromorphic continuation, it suffices to check for h lying in the subgroup $G(X)(\mathbb{A})$ of $M_{Q_a}(\mathbb{A})$ if $F_{\phi,s}(h)$ has meromorphic continuation, or equivalently, if for all $\xi \in \sigma$, the inner product of $F_{\phi,s}|_{G(X)(\mathbb{A})}$ and ξ has meromorphic continuation. By the basic identity in [GPSR87], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[G(X)]} F_{\phi,s}(h)\overline{\xi(h)}dh &= \int_{[G(X)]}\int_{G(X)(\mathbb{A})} \mathbb{F}_s(\iota(1,g))\phi(hg)\overline{\xi(h)}dgdh \\ &= \int_{[G(X)\times G(X)]} E^P(\iota(h,g),s,\mathbb{F}_s)\phi(g)\overline{\xi(h)}dgdh \end{aligned}$$

where E^P is an Eisenstein series on $G(W)$ associated to the Siegel parabolic P and where we regard $\mathbb{F}_s$ as a section of $\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G(W)(\mathbb{A})}\chi|\ |_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\frac{1}{2}a}$ via restriction. Then meromorphic continuation follows from that of Eisenstein series. □

We summarise from [Ike92, Ike96b, KR90, KR94] the location of possible poles of the Siegel Eisenstein series.

Proposition 2.4. *The poles with* $\mathrm{Re}\, s > 0$ *of* $E^{P_a}(g,s,F_s)$ *are at most simple and are contained in the set*

$$\Xi_{m+a}(\chi) = \{\frac{1}{2}(m+a+1) - j | j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < j < \frac{1}{2}(m+a+1)\}$$

if $\chi \neq 1$*; and in the set*

$$\Xi_{m+a}(\chi) = \{\frac{1}{2}(m+a+1) - j | j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le j < \frac{1}{2}(m+a+1)\}$$

if $\chi = 1$.

We note the following lemma whose proof is completely analogous to those in [JW14a, Lemma 2.6]. See also [GJS09, Mœg97a].

Lemma 2.5. *Let* s_0 *be the maximal element in* $\mathcal{P}_1(\sigma, \chi)$. *Then for a large enough, there exists a section of* $\mathcal{A}_a(s, \chi, \sigma)$ *of the form* $F_{\phi,s}$ *as in* (2.1) *such that* $E^{Q_a}(g, s, F_{\phi,s})$ *has a pole at* $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$.

This leads to the following:

Proposition 2.6. *If* s_0 *is the maximal element in* $\mathcal{P}_1(\sigma, \chi)$, *then* $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(m+2) - j$ *for some integer* j *with* $0 \leq j < \frac{1}{2}(m+2)$. *It takes the value* $\frac{1}{2}(m+2)$ *only when* $\chi = 1$ *and* σ *is the trivial representation.*

Proof. Assume that s_0 is the maximal element in $\mathcal{P}_1(\sigma, \chi)$, then $s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_a(\sigma, \chi)$ by Prop. 2.1. Thus there exists a section of $\mathcal{A}_a(s, \chi, \sigma)$ of the form (2.1) such that $E^{Q_a}(g, s, F_{\phi,s})$ has a pole at $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$. Then from (2.2), this must lie in $\Xi_{m+a}(\chi)$. Thus s_0 must be of the form $\frac{1}{2}(m+2) - j$ for some integer j with $0 \leq j < \frac{1}{2}(m+2)$.

The Siegel Eisenstein series E^{P_a} can have a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}(m+a+1)$ only when $\chi = 1$. In this case, the space of the residues of E^{P_a} at $s = \frac{1}{2}(m+a+1)$ is the trivial representation. By (2.2), to produce a non-vanishing residue for $E^{Q_a}(g, s, F_{\phi,s})$ at $s = \frac{1}{2}(m+a+1)$, σ has to be the trivial representation. □

2.3. **First Occurrence of Theta Correspondence.** We recall that X is a symplectic space and that Y is a quadratic space. We have the space of Schwartz functions on a maximal isotropic subspace of $(Y \otimes_F X)(\mathbb{A})$. When we do not want to emphasise which maximal isotropic subspace is used, we write $(Y \otimes X)^+$ for a maximal isotropic subspace and denote by $\mathcal{S}_{X,Y}(\mathbb{A})$ the space of Schwartz functions on $(Y \otimes X)^+(\mathbb{A})$. The local version is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{X,Y}(F_v)$ at a local place v of F. Since in this paper Y has even dimension we do not need to consider the metaplectic cover of $G(X)(\mathbb{A})$. The Weil representation $\omega_{\psi,X,Y}$ of $G(X)(\mathbb{A}) \times G(Y)(\mathbb{A})$ can be realised on the Schrödinger model $\mathcal{S}_{X,Y}(\mathbb{A})$. The explicit formulae can be found, for example, in [Ike96a].

For $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X,Y}(\mathbb{A})$, $g \in G(X)(\mathbb{A})$ and $h \in G(Y)(\mathbb{A})$, we form the theta series

$$\theta_{\psi,X,Y}(g, h, \Phi) := \sum_{w \in (Y \otimes X)^+(F)} \omega_{\psi,X,Y}(g, h)\Phi(w).$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G(X))$. For $\phi \in \sigma$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X,Y}(\mathbb{A})$, define

$$\theta^Y_{\psi,X}(h,\phi,\Phi) := \int_{[G(X)]} \theta_{\psi,X,Y}(g,h,\Phi)\phi(g)dg.$$

Then the theta lift $\theta^Y_{\psi,X}(\sigma)$ of σ from $G(X)$ to $G(Y)$ is defined to be the span of all such $\theta^Y_{\psi,X}(h,\phi,\Phi)$'s. We note that if Y trivial, then the Weil representation is one-dimensional trivial representation and the theta series reduces to a constant function of $G(X)(\mathbb{A})$.

The first occurrence $\mathrm{FO}^Y_\psi(\sigma)$ of σ in the Witt tower of Y is defined to be the smallest number $\dim Y'$ such that $\theta^{Y'}_\psi(\sigma)$ is non-zero for Y' running through the spaces that are in the Witt tower of Y. Fix a quadratic character χ. Then we define the lowest occurrence of σ with respect to χ as follows:

$$\mathrm{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma) = \min_Y\{\mathrm{FO}^Y_\psi(\sigma)\},$$

where Y runs over all non-degenerate quadratic spaces with $\chi_Y = \chi$ and $\dim Y \equiv 0 \pmod 2$.

With this setup we can state our theorems.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G(X))$ and s_0 be the maximal element in $\mathcal{P}_1(\sigma,\chi)$. Then*

1. *$s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(m+2) - j$ for some integer j such that*
$$0 \le j < \frac{1}{2}(m+2) - j$$
and j can take the value 0 exactly when $\chi = 1$ and σ is the trivial representation;
2. *$\mathrm{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma) \le 2j$; and*
3. *$2j \ge r_X$ where $r_X = \frac{m}{2}$ is the Witt index of X.*

Proof. The first part is just Prop. 2.6. For the second part we make use of the Siegel-Weil formula. Most of the ingredients can be found from [KR94]. Part of the theorem was proved in [Mœg97a]. See also [JW14a]. We give only full details for the part that is new.

Assume that $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(m+2) - j$ is the maximal element in $\mathcal{P}_1(\sigma,\chi)$. Then by Prop. 2.1 and (2.2) we find that $s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1) \in \mathcal{P}_a(\sigma,\chi)$. Thus there exists a section of $\mathcal{A}_a(\sigma,\chi)$ of the form $F_{\phi,s}$ as in (2.1) such that $E^{Q_a}(s,g,F_{\phi,s})$ has a pole at $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$. Taking a large so that $\dim Y < m + a + 1$, since the residue of the Siegel Eisenstein series is square-integrable, we get from the Siegel-Weil formula [KR94, Ich01]:

$$\mathrm{res}_{s=s_0+\frac{1}{2}(a-1)} E^{P_a}(\iota(g_a,g),s,F_s) = \sum_{\substack{Y:\dim Y = 2j \\ \chi_Y = \chi}} c_Y \int_{[G(Y)]} \theta_\psi(\iota(g_a,g),h,\omega_\psi(\alpha_Y)\Phi_Y)dh$$

where α_Y is some element in the local Hecke algebra of $G(W_a)$ at a good place that is used to regularise the integral, c_Y is some non-zero constant determined by α_Y and Φ_Y is some K_{W_a}-finite Schwartz function in $\mathcal{S}_{W_a,Y}(\mathbb{A})$. By integrating both sides over $[G(X)]$ against $\phi(g)$, we obtain that the left-hand side then becomes the residue at $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$ of $E^{Q_a}(g_a, s, F_{\phi,s})$. As this is non-zero, at least one term on the right-hand side is non-zero. In other words there exists a quadratic space Y of dimension $2j$ and character χ such that

$$\int_{[G(X)]}\int_{[G(Y)]} \theta_\psi(\iota(g_a, g), h, \omega_\psi(\alpha_Y)\Phi_Y)\phi(g)dhdg \neq 0.$$

We may assume that $\omega_\psi(\alpha_Y)\Phi_Y = \Phi_Y^{(1)} \otimes \Phi_Y^{(2)}$ for $\Phi_Y^{(1)} \in \mathcal{S}_{X_a,Y}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\Phi_Y^{(2)} \in \mathcal{S}_{X,Y}(\mathbb{A})$. Then after separating variable we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{[G(X)]}\int_{[G(Y)]} \theta_{\psi,X_a,Y}(g_a, h, \Phi_Y^{(1)})\theta_{\psi,X,Y}(g, h, \Phi_Y^{(2)})\phi(g)dhdg\\ =&\int_{[G(Y)]} \theta_{\psi,X_a,Y}(g_a, h, \Phi_Y^{(1)})\int_{[G(X)]} \theta_{\psi,X,Y}(g, h, \Phi_Y^{(2)})\phi(g)dgdh.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the inner integral which is exactly the theta lift of $\phi \in \sigma$ to $G(Y)$ is non-vanishing. This concludes the proof of the second part.

For the third part, assume that the lowest occurrence is realised in the Witt tower of Y so that $\mathrm{FO}_\psi^Y(\sigma) = 2j'$ for some $j' \leq j$. We may assume $\dim Y = 2j'$. Let $\pi = \theta_{\psi,X}^Y(\sigma)$. Then it is cuspidal as this is the first occurrence. It is irreduceable by [Mœg97b, Théorème]. It is known that $\mathrm{FO}_{\psi^{-1},Y}^X(\pi) \leq 4j'$. By the involutive property in [Mœg97b] we have

$$\theta_{\psi^{-1},Y}^X(\pi) = \theta_{\psi^{-1},Y}^X(\theta_{\psi,X}^Y(\sigma)) = \sigma.$$

Thus $m \leq 4j' \leq 4j$. □

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G(X))$ and s_0 be the maximal element in $\mathcal{P}_1(\sigma, \chi)$. Then the following hold.*

1. *Assume that the partial L-function $L^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$ has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}(m+2) - j > 0$. Then $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma) \leq 2j$.*
2. *If $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma) = 2j < m+2$, then $L^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$ is holomorphic for $\mathrm{Re}\, s > \frac{1}{2}(m+2) - j$.*
3. *If $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma) = 2j \geq m+2$, then $L^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$ is holomorphic for $\mathrm{Re}\, s \geq \frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. This theorem is a consequence of Thm. 2.7 and Prop. 2.2. Assume that $L^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$ has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}(m+2) - j > 0$. Let $\frac{1}{2}(m+2) - j'$ be its maximal pole. Then $s = \frac{1}{2}(m+2) - j'$ is a pole of the Eisenstein series E^{Q_1}. Let $\frac{1}{2}(m+2)-j''$ be the maximal element of $\mathcal{P}_1(\sigma,\chi)$. We have $j''\leq j'\leq j$. By Thm. 2.7, the lowest occurrence $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma)\leq 2j''\leq 2j$.

Assume that $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma)=2j<m+2$ and $L^S(s,\sigma\times\chi)$ is not holomorphic for $\mathrm{Re}\, s>\frac{1}{2}(m+2)-j$. This means that $L^S(s,\sigma\times\chi)$ has a pole at $s=\frac{1}{2}(m+2)-j_0$ for some $j_0<j$. Part (1) implies that $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma)\leq 2j_0$ which is strictly less than $2j$. We get a contradiction.

Assume that $L^S(s,\sigma\times\chi)$ is not holomorphic for $\mathrm{Re}\, s\geq\frac{1}{2}$. Then $L^S(s,\sigma\times\chi)$ has a pole at $s=\frac{1}{2}(m+2)-j_0$ for some $j_0\leq\frac{1}{2}(m+1)$. Then from Part (1), we get that $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma)\leq 2j_0\leq m+1$. □

3. Periods and Main Results

As discussed in previous section, for $\sigma\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G(X))$, the first occurrence of σ under the theta correspondence to the orthogonal group is irreducible and cuspidal. By the theory of Li on the non-singularity of cuspidal automorphic representations ([Li92]), we are able to find at least one non-zero Fourier coefficient associated to the non-singularity for the first occurrence of σ under the theta correspondence. An explicit calculation of such a Fourier coefficient leads to our definition of a new family of period integrals on σ. Note that the period integrals are similar to what we defined in [JW14a]. However they are different from what introduced in [GJS09].

Definition 3.1. Let G be a reductive group and J be a subgroup of G. Let π be an automorphic representation of G. For $f\in\pi$, if the period integral

$$\int_{[J]} f(g)dg. \tag{3.1}$$

is absolutely convergent and non-vanishing, then we say that f is J-distinguished. Assume that for all $f\in\sigma$, the period integral is absolutely convergent. Then we say σ is J-distinguished if there exists $f\in\sigma$ such that f is J-distinguished.

3.1. **Fourier Coefficients and Periods.** Let Θ_{ψ,X,Y_0} denote the space of theta functions $\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(\cdot,1,\Phi)$ for Φ running over $\mathcal{S}_{X,Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$. Let Z' be a non-degenerate subspace of X of codimension d. Let Z'' be an isotropic subspace of Z' of dimension a. Let $J^d_a(Z'')$ denote the subgroup of $G(Z')$ that fixes Z'' element-wise. If $x_1,\dots,x_k$ span Z', we also write $J^d_a(x_1,\dots,x_k)$ for $J^d_a(\mathrm{Span}\{x_1,\dots,x_k\})$. Sometimes We will suppress the dependency on Z''. The group J^d_0 is understood to be $G(Z')$. The Levi of J^d_a is $G(Z)$ for some non-degenerate subspace Z of

X of codimension $d-2a$. There are many subgroups of $G(X)$ of the form J_a^d. With this setup we have the following.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G(X))$ *and* Y_0 *a (possibly trivial) anisotropic quadratic space. Assume that*

$$\mathrm{FO}_{\psi}^{Y_0}(\sigma) = \dim Y_0 + 2r$$

with $r \leq \dim X$ *and that the first occurrence is realised by* Y. *Let* $\epsilon_r = r\%2$. *Then the following hold.*

1. *At least for one* $J_{\epsilon_r}^{r-\epsilon_r}$, $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ *is* $J_{\epsilon_r}^{r-\epsilon_r}$*-distinguished.*
2. *For all* J_a^d *with* d *even such that* $d < r$ *and* $0 \leq a \leq \min\{r-d-1, \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - d)\}$, $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ *is not* J_a^d*-distinguished.*

Proof. Since ϕ is a cuspidal automorphic form and the theta series is of moderate growth, the whole integrand is rapidly decreasing on the Siegel domain of $G(X)$. It can be seen that the Siegel domain of $G(Z)$ is contained some Siegel domain of $G(X)$. Thus the period integrals are all absolutely convergent.

Let Y_{-b} be a subspace of Y with b copies of hyperbolic planes removed. Consider the theta lift of σ to Y_{-b} for $0 < b \leq r$. We need to use the mixed model for the Weil representation. Let $X = X^+ \oplus X^-$ be a polarisation. Let $Y_{-b} = Y_{-b}^+ \oplus Y_0 \oplus Y_{-b}^-$ with Y_{-b}^+ and Y_{-b}^- being dual maximal isotropic subspaces of Y_{-b}. The space $Y_{-b}^+ \oplus Y_{-b}^-$ is a split quadratic space. Then the Schwartz space is realised on $(Y_{-b}^- \otimes X \oplus Y_0 \otimes X^+)(\mathbb{A})$. Let N be the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of $G(Y_{-b})$ that stabilises Y_{-b}^+ and let N' consist of elements of N that acts as identity on Y_0. Then N' can be identified with Alt_{r-b}, the space of skew-matrices of size $(r-b)\times(r-b)$. Given $\beta \in \mathrm{Alt}_{r-b}$ we let $n(\beta)$ denote the corresponding element in N'. We also view β as an element in $\mathrm{Hom}_F(Y_{-b}^-, Y_{-b}^+)$.

Fix an even number d such that $0 \leq d < r$. Let $c_0 \in \mathrm{Alt}_d$ of rank d. For $0 \leq a < r-d$, set $c \in \mathrm{Alt}_{a+d}(F)$ to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_0 & \\ & 0_a \end{pmatrix}$$

Define an additive character for skew-symmetric matrices

$$\psi_c(\beta) = \psi(\mathrm{tr}(\beta c)).$$

Set $b = r - a - d$. Then the c-th Fourier coefficient of the theta lift of ϕ to $G(Y_{-b})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[N']}\int_{[G(X)]} \phi(g)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_{-b}}(g,nh,\Phi)\overline{\psi_c(\beta)}dgdn\\
(3.2)\qquad &=\int_{[N']}\int_{[G(X)]} \phi(g)\sum_{x,w}\omega_{\psi,X,Y_{-b}}(g,nh)\Phi(x,w)\overline{\psi_c(\beta)}dgdn
\end{aligned}$$

with $n = n(\beta)$ and x and w running over $Y_{-b}^{-}\otimes X$ and $Y_0\otimes X^{+}$ respectively.

In the mixed model we have[Kud96, Lemma 4,2]

$$\omega_{\psi,X,Y_{-b}}(1,n(\beta))\Phi(u,v,w) = \psi(\langle\beta u, v\rangle)\Phi(u,v,w)$$

where $u\in(Y_{-b}^{-}\otimes X^{+})(\mathbb{A})$, $v\in(Y_{-b}^{-}\otimes X^{-})(\mathbb{A})$ and $w\in(Y_0\otimes X^{+})(\mathbb{A})$. We note that β sends u to $(Y_{-b}^{+}\otimes X^{+})(\mathbb{A})$. It should be noted that

$$\langle\beta u, v\rangle = \beta u {}^{\mathrm{t}}v$$

once we use the dual bases for $X^{\pm}$ and $Y_{-b}^{\pm}$ respectively to write β, u and v as matrices. Thus the integration over $[N']$ vanishes unless $u{}^{\mathrm{t}}v = c$ where $x = (u,v)$. This requirement implies that

$$x\begin{pmatrix}0 & I\\ -I & 0\end{pmatrix}{}^{\mathrm{t}}x = 2c$$

where I is of size $\dim X^{+}\times\dim X^{+}$ and by abuse of language we say x represents $2c$.

Fix $x_0\in X^d$ that represents c_0. Let Z' be the orthogonal complement of the span of rows of x_0 in X. Consider the $G(X)$-orbits of set of elements $x\in X^{a+d}$ that represent c. Thus we find that (3.2) is equal to

$$\int_{[G(X)]}\phi(g)\sum_{i=0}^{a}\sum_{x_1^{(i)}}\sum_{\gamma\in J_i^d(x_1^{(i)})\setminus G(X)}\sum_{w}\omega_{\psi,X,Y_{-b}}(g,h)\Phi(\begin{pmatrix}x_0\\ x_1^{(i)}\end{pmatrix}\gamma,w)dg$$

where $x_1^{(i)}$ runs over orbit representatives of $X^a/G(X)$ that are of rank i and whose rows span an isotropic subspace of Z'. This is equal to

$$\int_{[G(X)]}\phi(g)\sum_{i=0}^{a}\sum_{x_1^{(i)}}\sum_{\gamma\in J_i^d(x_1^{(i)})\setminus G(X)}\sum_{w}\omega_{\psi,X,Y_{-b}}(\gamma g,h)\Phi(\begin{pmatrix}x_0\\ x_1^{(i)}\end{pmatrix},w)dg$$

$$=\sum_{i=0}^{a}\sum_{x_1^{(i)}}\int_{J_i^d(x_1^{(i)})(\mathbb{A})\setminus G(X)(\mathbb{A})}\int_{[J_i^d(x_1^{(i)})]}\phi(g'g)\sum_{w}\omega_{\psi,X,Y_{-b}}(g'g,h)\Phi(\begin{pmatrix}x_0\\ x_1^{(i)}\end{pmatrix},w)dg'dg$$

$$=\sum_{i=0}^{a}\sum_{x_1^{(i)}}\int_{J_i^d(x_1^{(i)})(\mathbb{A})\setminus G(X)(\mathbb{A})}\int_{[J_i^d(x_1^{(i)})]}\phi(g'g)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g',1,\omega_{\psi,X,Y_{-b}}(g,h)\Phi(\begin{pmatrix}x_0\\ x_1^{(i)}\end{pmatrix},\cdot))dg'dg, \tag{3.3}$$

Since the theta lift of σ to Y_{-b} vanishes for $b>0$, the above sum vanishes. Now we further assume that $a\le\dim Z'/2$. If $a=0$, the above sum has only one term. We conclude that

$$\int_{[J_0^d(x_1^{(0)})]}\phi(g')\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g',1,\Psi)dg'$$

must vanish for all choice of data. Otherwise it is easy to see we can choose some $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}_{X,Y_{-b}}(\mathbb{A})$ that extends $\Psi\in\mathcal{S}_{X,Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$ so that the outer integration does not vanish. Here, in fact, $x_1^{(0)}$ is the 0 element in the 0-dimensional space X^0. Assume that for all $i<a$,

$$\int_{[J_i^d(x_1^{(i)})]}\phi(g')\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g',1,\Psi)dg'$$

vanishes for all choice of data. The elements in X^a that are of rank a and whose rows span an isotropic subspace of $G(Z')$ form one orbit under action of $G(X)$. Thus by induction we find from (3.3) that

$$\int_{J_i^d(x_1^{(a)})(\mathbb{A})\setminus G(X)(\mathbb{A})}\int_{[J_i^d(x_1^{(a)})]}\phi(g'g)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g',1,\omega_{\psi,X,Y_{-b}}(g,h)\Phi(\begin{pmatrix}x_0\\ x_1^{(a)}\end{pmatrix},\cdot))dg'dg$$

vanishes. The inner integral

$$\int_{[J_0^d(x_1^{(a)})]}\phi(g')\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g',1,\Psi)dg'$$

must vanish for all choice of data. Otherwise we can construct some $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}_{X,Y_{-b}}(\mathbb{A})$ that extends $\Psi\in\mathcal{S}_{X,Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$ so that the outer integration does not vanish.

Similar to the above we consider the theta lift of σ to Y. Take $d=r-\epsilon_r$ and $a=\epsilon_r$. For r even and hence $a=0$, by the Main

Theorem of [Li92] we get non-vanishing of

$$\int_{J_0^d(\mathbb{A})\,\backslash\, G(X)(\mathbb{A})}\int_{[J_0^d]}\phi(g'g)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g',1,\omega_{\psi,X,Y}(g,h)\Phi(x_0,\cdot))dg'dg$$

for some choice of data. Thus the inner integral of the form

$$\int_{[J_0^d]}\phi(g')\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g',1,\Psi)dg'$$

does not vanish for some choice of data. For r odd and hence $a=1$, by the Main Theorem of [Li92] we get non-vanishing of

$$\int_{J_0^d(\mathbb{A})\,\backslash\, G(X)(\mathbb{A})}\int_{[J_0^d]}\phi(g'g)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g',1,\omega_{\psi,X,Y}(g,h)\Phi(\begin{pmatrix}x_0\\0\end{pmatrix},\cdot))dg'dg$$
$$+\int_{J_1^d(\mathbb{A})\,\backslash\, G(X)(\mathbb{A})}\int_{[J_1^d]}\phi(g'g)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g',1,\omega_{\psi,X,Y}(g,h)\Phi(\begin{pmatrix}x_0\\x_1^{(1)}\end{pmatrix},\cdot))dg'dg$$

for some choice of data. As the first term vanishes by (2), we get non-vanishing of the second term. Thus the inner integral of the form

$$\int_{[J_1^d]}\phi(g')\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g',1,\Psi)dg'.$$

does not vanish for some choice of data.

□

Remark 3.3. If $Y_0=\{0\}$ then the theta series reduces to a constant. Compare with Prop. 5.2 in [GJS09]. When $r=0$ the period integral is just the integral for theta lift of σ to $G(Y_0)$. In fact the above integrals can be replaced by

$$\int_{[J_a^d]}\phi(g)\theta_{\psi,Z',Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)dg$$

where $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}_{Z',Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$.

Proposition 3.4. (1) *Assume that $\sigma\otimes\Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is J_0^d-distinguished for one J_0^d and that for all $e<d$, it is not J_0^e-distinguished nor J_1^e-distinguished. Then $FO_\psi^{Y_0}=\dim Y_0+2d$.*

(2) *Assume that $\sigma\otimes\Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is J_1^d-distinguished for one J_1^d and that for all $e<d$, it is not J_0^{e+2}-distinguished nor J_1^e-distinguished. Then $FO_\psi^{Y_0}=\dim Y_0+2(d+1)$.*

Proof. We prove part (1). From the proof of Prop. 3.2, we see that $\sigma\otimes\Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ being J_0^d-distinguished implies that a certain Fourier coefficient of the theta lift of σ to $Y_{0,d}$ is non-vanishing. Thus $\mathrm{FO}_\psi^{Y_0}(\sigma)-\dim Y_0\geq 2d$. Assume that the first occurrence is realised by $Y_{0,b}$ for some $b\leq d$.

Then Prop. 3.2 implied that $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is J_0^b-distinguished if b is even or J_1^{b-1}-distinguished if b is odd. Assume that b is even. Then by our assumption we have $b \geq d$. Thus $b = d$. Assume that b is odd. Then by our assumption we have $b - 1 \geq d$. This is not possible.

Now we prove part (2). Our assumptions that $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ being J_1^d-distinguished and but not J_0^d-distinguished coupled with the proof of Prop. 3.2 implies that a certain Fourier coefficient of the theta lift of σ to $Y_{0,d+1}$ is non-vanishing. Thus $\mathrm{FO}_\psi^{Y_0}(\sigma) - \dim Y_0 \geq 2(d+1)$. Assume that the first occurrence is realised by $Y_{0,b}$ for some $b \leq d+1$. Then Prop. 3.2 implied that $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is J_0^b-distinguished if b is even or J_1^{b-1}-distinguished if b is odd. Assume that b is even. Then by our assumption we have $b - 2 \geq d$. This is not possible. Assume that b is odd. Then by our assumption we have $b - 1 \geq d$. Thus $b = d + 1$. □

3.2. **Periods of Truncated Eisenstein Series and Residues.** First we state our result on the relation between first occurrence and certain theta-twisted period of residue of Eisenstein series. The proofs hinge on Prop. 4.1 whose proof occupies Sec. 6.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G(X))$ and let Y_0 be a (possibly trivial) anisotropic quadratic space. Assume one of the following.*

1. *$\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is J_0^d-distinguished but not J_0^{d-2}-distinguished nor J_1^{d-2}-distinguished. Then let $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - (\dim Y_0 + 2d) + 2)$.*
2. *$\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is J_1^d-distinguished but not J_0^d-distinguished nor J_2^{d-2}-distinguished. Then let $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - (\dim Y_0 + 2d + 4) + 2)$.*

Then s_0 is a simple pole of $E^{Q_1}(s, g, f_s)$ for some $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_1(\chi_{Y_0}, \sigma)$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Prop. 4.1. The intertwining operator $M(w, s)$ must have a pole at $s = s_0$. Indeed, assume otherwise. Then the formula in Prop. 4.1 shows that the truncated Eisenstein series has a pole at $s = s_0$. Thus the Eisenstein series also has a pole at $s = s_0$ and we get a contradiction. As the intertwining operator $M(w, s)$ has a pole at $s = s_0$, the Eisenstein series E^{Q_1} must have a pole there. □

Let $\mathcal{E}_{s_0}(g, f_s)$ denote the residue of $E^{Q_1}(g, s, f_s)$ at $s = s_0$. We have a finer theorem below after assuming that $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2) > 0$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let σ be an irreducible automorphic representation of $G(X)$ and Y_0 a (possibly trivial) anisotropic quadratic space. Assume that $\mathrm{FO}_\psi^{Y_0} = \dim Y_0 + 2r$ and that the first occurrence is realised by Y. Let $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)$. Assume further that $s_0 > 0$. Then*

1. *s_0 is a simple pole in $\mathcal{P}_1(\sigma, \chi_{Y_0})$;*

(2) *for some non-degenerate subspace Z of X of dimension* $\dim X - r$, $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_1(\chi_{Y_0}, \sigma)$ *and Schwartz function* $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1,Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$

$$\mathcal{E}_{s_0}(g, f_s)\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(g, 1, \Phi) \tag{3.4}$$

is $G(Z_1)$*-distinguished.*

(3) *for* $0 < s_1 \neq s_0$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(g, 1, \Phi) \tag{3.5}$$

cannot be $G(Z_1)$*-distinguished for any choice of non-degenerate subspace Z of X of dimension* $\dim X - r$, $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_1(\chi_{Y_0}, \sigma)$ *and Schwartz function* $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1,Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$.

Proof. Assuming Prop. 4.1, we can compute the period as in (3.4) and (3.5). We will avail ourselves with the notation introduced in Sec. 4. Let $s_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1(\sigma, \chi_{Y_0})$. Since the constant term $\mathcal{E}_{s_1,Q_1}(g, f_s)$ is equal to

$$\mathrm{res}_{s=s_1}(M(s,w)f_s(g))$$

the truncated residue is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^c\mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) =& \mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) - \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \backslash G(X_1)} \mathcal{E}_{s_1,Q_1}(\gamma g, f_s)\hat{\tau}^c(H(\gamma g))\\ =& \mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) - \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \backslash G(X_1)} \mathrm{res}_{s=s_1}(M(s,w)f_s(\gamma g))\hat{\tau}^c(H(\gamma g))\\ =:& \mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) - \theta^c(g).\end{aligned}$$

The period

$$\int_{[G(Z_1)]} \theta^c(g)\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(g, 1, \Phi)dg$$

is absolutely convergent when $\mathrm{Re}\, s$ and the truncation parameter are sufficiently large and is equal to $\mathrm{res}_{s=s_1} I_2^c(s)$. Thus we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{[G(Z_1)]} \mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s)\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(g, 1, \Phi)dg\\ =&\int_{[G(Z_1)]} (\Lambda^c\mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) + \theta^c(g))\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(g, 1, \Phi)dg\\ =&\mathrm{res}_{s=s_1}(I_1^c(s) - I_2^c(s)) + \mathrm{res}_{s=s_1} I_2^c(s)\\ =&\mathrm{res}_{s=s_1} I_1^c(s).\end{aligned}$$

Hence when $s_1 \neq \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)$, the period (3.4) vanishes; when $s_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)$, the period (3.4) is equal to

$$\int_{K_{Z_1}} \int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(hk)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(h, 1, \Phi_k)dhdk \tag{3.6}$$

where $\Phi_k \in \mathcal{S}_{X,Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$ is defined to be $\omega_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(k,1)\Phi(0,\cdot)$. We note that we pick the maximal isotropic subspace of $Y_0 \otimes X$ to be such that $(Y_0 \otimes X_1)^+ = Y_0 \otimes \ell_1^+ \oplus (Y_0 \otimes X)^+$.

We still need to show that for some choice of $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_1(\chi,\sigma)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1,Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$, (3.6) is non-vanishing. This follows the idea in [JR92, Prop. 2] except that we need to account for the theta twist. By Prop. 3.2, for some choice of $\phi \in \sigma$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}_{X,Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$,

$$\int_{[G(Z)]} \phi(h)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(h,1,\Psi)dh \tag{3.7}$$

is non-vanishing. We may assume that Ψ is a pure tensor $\prod_v \Psi_v$ and for all but finitely many finite places v, Ψ_v is the characteristic function of $(Y_0 \otimes X)^+(\mathcal{O}_v)$. We extend ϕ to $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_1(\chi,\sigma)$ and Ψ to $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1,Y_0}$ as follows.

Let S_{ur} be the set of finite places v where χ_{Y_0} and ψ are unramified, ϕ and Ψ_v are $K_{X,v}$-invariant. Let S_∞ be the set of archimedean places. Let $S_{r,f}$ be the rest of the places and $S_r = S_{r,f} \cup S_\infty$. For each $v \in S_{r,f}$, choose a small open compact subgroup Ω_v of $\overline{N}_{1,v}$ such that $N_{1,v} \cap K_{X_1,v}M_{1,v} \cap K_{X_1,v}\Omega_v \subset K_{X_1,v}$. For each $v \in S_\infty$ choose Ω_v to be the image under the exponential map of some small open subset in the complement of $\mathrm{Lie}(K_{X_1,v} \cap M_{1,v})$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(K_{X_1,v})$ and choose smooth functions ξ_v supported on Ω_v such that $\xi_v \geq 0$ and $\xi_v(I) = 1$. Further conditions on Ω_v will be imposed below.

The section f_s is determined by its values on K_{X_1}. We define for $h \in G(X)(\mathbb{A})$ and $k \in K_{X_1}$

$$f_s(hk) = \phi(h\prod_{v\in S_r} h_v)\chi_{Y_0}(\prod_{v\in S_r} t_v)\prod_{v\in S_\infty}\xi_v(\omega_v)$$

if $k_v = n_v m_1(t_v)h_v\omega_v \in Q_{1,v} \cap K_{X_1,v}\cdot\Omega_v$, for all $v \in S_r$ and 0 otherwise. For $v \in S_{\mathrm{ur}}$ we can extend Ψ_v to a $K_{X_1,v}$-invariant Schwartz function Φ_v. For $v \in S_r$, we extend Ψ_v to a Schwartz function Φ_v. For $v \in S_{r,f}$, after shrinking Ω_v we may assume that Φ_v is Ω_v-invariant.

Then for the chosen data (3.6) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{N_{1,S_r}\cap K_{Z_1,S_r}}\int_{M_{1,S_r}\cap K_{Z_1,S_r}}\int_{\Omega_{S_r}}\int_{[G(Z)]}\phi(hh')\chi_{Y_0}(t)\xi_{S_\infty}(\omega_\infty)\\ &\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(h,1,\omega_{X_1,Y_0}(nm_1(t)h'\omega)\Phi(0,\cdot))dhd\omega d^\times tdh'dn.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We note that

$$\omega_{X_1,Y_0}(nm_1(t)h'\omega,1)\Phi(0,z) = \chi_{Y_0}(t)\omega_{X_1,Y_0}(h'\omega_\infty,1)\Phi(0,z).$$

Then (3.8) becomes

$$\int_{M_{1,S_r}\cap K_{Z_1,S_r}}\int_{\Omega_{S_\infty}}\int_{[G(Z)]}\phi(hh')\xi_{S_\infty}(\omega_\infty)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(hh',1,\Phi_{\omega_\infty})dhd\omega_\infty d^\times tdh'.$$

After changing variable, h' is absorbed into h and we get

$$\int_{\Omega_{S_\infty}}\int_{[G(Z)]}\phi(h)\xi_{S_\infty}(\omega_\infty)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(h,1,\Phi_{\omega_\infty})dhd\omega_\infty. \tag{3.9}$$

The map

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{S_\infty}&\to\mathbb{C}\\ \omega_\infty&\mapsto\int_{[G(Z)]}\phi(h)\xi_{S_\infty}(\omega_\infty)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(h,1,\Phi_{\omega_\infty})dh\end{aligned}$$

is continuous and $1\in\Omega_{S_\infty}$ is mapped to (3.7) which is non-zero by assumption. Thus after shrinking Ω_∞, (3.9) can be made non-vanishing. □

4. The Arthur Truncation Method

There are two kinds of period integrals that we will investigate. Convergence issues aside, the first kind is of the form

$$\int_{[G(Z_1)]}E^{Q_1}(g,s,f_s)\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)dg$$

for a non-degenerate subspace Z of X, $f_s\in\mathcal{A}_1(s,\chi,\sigma)$ and $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}(Y_0\otimes X_1^+)$. The second kind is an integral on the automorphic quotient of the Jacobi group $\mathcal{H}(Z_1)\rtimes G(Z_1)$, where $\mathcal{H}(Z_1)$ is the Heisenberg group $Z_1\oplus F$. We reserve the notation J_1^d for Jacobi subgroups of $G(X)$. We fix dual vectors $\check{x}_0$ and x_0 in the orthogonal complement of Z in X. Let $Z'=F\check{x}_0\oplus Z\oplus Fx_0$. Then $\mathcal{H}(Z_1)\rtimes G(Z_1)$ is realised as the subgroup of $G(Z_1')$ that fixes x_0. Then the second kind of the form

$$\int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]}\int_{[G(Z_1)]}E^{Q_1}(vg,s,f_s)\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(vg,1,\Phi)dgdv.$$

The integrals diverge in general. Thus we apply Arthur truncation[Art80, Art78] to the Eisenstein series. We will drop the super script Q_1 from now on.

Since the parabolic group Q_1 is maximal, $\mathfrak{a}_{M_1}$ is one-dimensional and is identified with $\mathbb{R}$. Define two functions on $\mathbb{R}$ as follows. Set $\hat{\tau}^c$ to be the characteristic function of $\mathbb{R}_{>c}$ for $c\in\mathbb{R}$ and set $\hat{\tau}_c=1_{\mathbb{R}}-\hat{\tau}^c$.

Then in our special case the Arthur truncation formula has only two terms

$$\Lambda^c E(g,s,f_s) = E(g,s,f_s) - \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)} E_{Q_1}(\gamma g, s, f_s)\hat{\tau}^c(H(\gamma g))$$

where E_{Q_1} denotes the constant term of the Eisenstein series along Q_1. The summation has only finitely many terms (depending on g).

For $\operatorname{Re} s > \rho_{Q_1}$ we have

$$E_{Q_1}(g,s,f_s) = f_s(g) + M(w,s)f_s(g),$$

where w is the longest Weyl element in $Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)/Q_1$. The above identity actually holds for all s as meromorphic functions.

Thus the truncated Eisenstein series $\Lambda^c E(g,s,f_s)$ equals

$$\sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)} f_s(\gamma g)\hat{\tau}_c(H(\gamma g)) - \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)} M(w,s)f_s(\gamma g)\hat{\tau}^c(H(\gamma g)),$$

where the first summation is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re} s > \rho_{Q_1}$ and the second has only finitely many terms. Both have meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane.

First we deal with the period integral of the first kind. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^c_{1,s}(g) =& f_s(g)\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)\hat{\tau}_c(H(g)); \\ \xi^c_{2,s}(g) =& M(w,s)f_s(g)\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)\hat{\tau}^c(H(g)). \end{aligned}$$

Also set

$$I^c_i(s) = \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)} \xi^c_{i,s}(\gamma g)dg \tag{4.1}$$

for $i = 1$ or 2. Thus

$$\int_{[G(Z_1)]} \Lambda^c E(g,s,f_s)\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)dg = I^c_1(s) - I^c_2(s).$$

Since the truncated Eisenstein series is rapidly decreasing, the left-hand side is absolutely convergent. The absolute convergence of the two terms on the right will be discussed later.

We examine the $G(Z_1)$-orbits in the generalised flag variety $Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)$ which classifies the isotropic lines in X_1. We use the correspondence given below:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 \setminus G(X_1) &\longleftrightarrow \{\text{Isotropic lines in } X_1\} \\ \gamma &\longleftrightarrow \ell_1^- \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Given an isotropic line in X_1 we pick a non-zero vector x on the line and we decompose it according to the decomposition $X_1 = V \oplus Z_1$

$$x = v + z.$$

We define

1. $\Omega_{0,1}$ to be set of lines such that $v=0$ and $z\neq 0$,
2. $\Omega_{1,0}$ to be set of lines such that $z=0$ and $v\neq 0$ and
3. $\Omega_{1,1}$ to be set of lines such that $v\neq 0$ and $z\neq 0$.

The vectors are automatically isotropic as they lie in a symplectic space. Note that each set is closed under the action of $G(Z_1)$.

Thus we write

$$I_i^c(s)=I^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)+I^c_{i,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)+I^c_{i,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$$

with

$$I^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)=\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{x\in\Omega_{0,1}/G(Z_1)}\sum_{\delta\in G(Z_1)^{\gamma_x}\setminus G(Z_1)}\xi_i^c(\gamma_x\delta g)dg;$$

$$I^c_{i,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)=\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{x\in\Omega_{1,0}/G(Z_1)}\sum_{\delta\in G(Z_1)^{\gamma_x}\setminus G(Z_1)}\xi_i^c(\gamma_x\delta g)dg;$$

$$I^c_{i,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)=\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{x\in\Omega_{1,1}/G(Z_1)}\sum_{\delta\in G(Z_1)^{\gamma_x}\setminus G(Z_1)}\xi_i^c(\gamma_x\delta g)dg,$$

where $G(Z_1)^{\gamma_x}$ denotes the stabiliser of Fx in $G(Z_1)$. We will show that each term above is absolutely convergent under some conditions on s and c. We will compute each term and show that only $\Omega_{0,1}/G(Z_1)$, which is exactly one $G(Z_1)$-orbit, namely, 1, can possibly contribute. We make the statement more precise in the following proposition, the proof of which will occupy the rest of this section.

We adopt the notation of J_a^d as in Sec. 3.1. Given $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}_{X_1,Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$ and $k\in G(X_1)(\mathbb{A})$, define $\Phi_k(\cdot)\in\mathcal{S}_{X,Y_0}(\mathbb{A})$ to be the element $\omega_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(k,1)\Phi(0,\cdot)$. We note that we pick the maximal isotropic subspace of $Y_0\otimes X$ to be such that $(Y_0\otimes X_1)^+=Y_0\otimes\ell_1^+\oplus(Y_0\otimes X)^+$. Then we have the following.

Proposition 4.1. *Let Z be a non-degenerate subspace of X of codimension d. Assume that $\sigma\otimes\Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is not J_0^{d-2}- or J_1^{d-2}-distinguished. Let $s_0=(\dim X-\dim Y_0-2d+2)/2$. Then the following hold.*

1. *The term $I^c_{1,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)$ is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}\, s$ large enough and is equal to*

$$\mathrm{vol}(F^\times\setminus\mathbb{A}^1)\frac{c^{s-s_0}}{s-s_0}\cdot\int_{K_{Z_1}}\int_{[G(Z)]}f_s(hk)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(h,1,\Phi_k)dhdk. \tag{4.2}$$

(2) *The term* $I^c_{2,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)$ *is absolutely convergent for* $\operatorname{Re} s$ *large enough and is equal to*

$$\text{(4.3)} \quad \operatorname{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^1)\frac{c^{-s-s_0}}{s+s_0} \cdot \int_{K_{Z_1}} \int_{[G(Z)]} M(w,s) f_s(hk)\theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}(h,1,\Phi_k)dhdk.$$

(3) *For* $\operatorname{Re} s$ *large enough, the term* $I^\infty_{1,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)$ *(i.e., without truncation) is absolutely convergent and thus, a fortiori, so is* $I^c_{1,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)$*. The value of* $I^c_{1,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)$ *is* 0*.*

(4) *The term* $I^c_{2,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)$ *is absolutely convergent for any* s *and is equal to* 0*.*

(5) *Assume that* $\dim Z + 1 > \dim Y_0/2$*. For* $\operatorname{Re} s$ *large enough, the term* $I^\infty_{1,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$ *(i.e., without truncation) is absolutely convergent and thus, a fortiori, so is* $I^c_{1,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$*. The value of* $I^c_{1,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$ *is* 0*.*

(6) *Assume that* $\dim Z + 1 > \dim Y_0/2$*. The term* $I^c_{2,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$ *is absolutely convergent for* $\operatorname{Re} s$ *large enough and the truncation parameter* c *large enough and is equal to* 0*.*

Under the above constraints on absolute convergence, the period of the truncated Eisenstein series is given by

$$\int_{[G(Z_1)]} \Lambda^c E(g,s,f_s)\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)dg = (4.2) - (4.3).$$

Remark 4.2. The computation of the value of $I^c_{i,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)$ uses the assumption that $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is not J_0^{d-2}-distinguished. The computation of the value of $I^c_{i,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$ uses the assumption that $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is not J_1^{d-2}-distinguished.

The next section is devoted to the proof of the above proposition.

5. Jacobi period

For the period integrals of the second kind, we set

$$\text{(5.1)} \qquad J^c_i(s) = \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)\rtimes G(Z_1)]} \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)} \xi^c_{i,s}(\gamma v g)dgdv$$

for $i = 1$ or 2. Then

$$\int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)\rtimes G(Z_1)]} \Lambda^c E(vg,s,f_s)\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(vg,1,\Phi)dgdv = J^c_1(s) - J^c_2(s).$$

As with the period integral of the first kind, we decompose the integral into three parts according to the $G(Z_1')$-orbit of $Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)$. In this case let V be the orthogonal complement of Z' in X. Given an isotropic line in X_1 we pick a non-zero vector x on the line and we decompose it according to the decomposition $X_1 = V \oplus Z'_1$

$$x = w + z.$$

We define

1. $\Omega_{0,1}$ to be set of lines such that $w = 0$ and $z \neq 0$,
2. $\Omega_{1,0}$ to be set of lines such that $z = 0$ and $w \neq 0$ and
3. $\Omega_{1,1}$ to be set of lines such that $w \neq 0$ and $z \neq 0$.

Thus we get

$$J^c_i(s) = J^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}}(s) + J^c_{i,\Omega_{1,0}}(s) + J^c_{i,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$$

with

$$J^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}}(s) = \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{x \in \Omega_{0,1}/G(Z'_1)} \sum_{\delta \in G(Z'_1)^{\gamma_x} \backslash G(Z'_1)} \xi^c_i(\gamma_x \delta v g) dg dv;$$

$$J^c_{i,\Omega_{1,0}}(s) = \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{x \in \Omega_{1,0}/G(Z'_1)} \sum_{\delta \in G(Z'_1)^{\gamma_x} \backslash G(Z'_1)} \xi^c_i(\gamma_x \delta v g) dg dv;$$

$$J^c_{i,\Omega_{1,1}}(s) = \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{x \in \Omega_{1,1}/G(Z'_1)} \sum_{\delta \in G(Z'_1)^{\gamma_x} \backslash G(Z'_1)} \xi^c_i(\gamma_x \delta v g) dg dv,$$

where $G(Z'_1)^{\gamma_x}$ denotes the stabiliser of Fx in $G(Z'_1)$. These integrals are absolutely convergent if the corresponding integrals over $[G(Z'_1)]$ are absolutely convergent, since the domain $[G(Z'_1)]$ is larger than $[\mathcal{H}(Z_1) \rtimes G(Z_1)]$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let Z be a non-degenerate subspace of X of codimension d. Assume that $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is not J_0^{d-2}- or J_1^{d-2} or J_2^{d-4}-distinguished. Let $s_0 = (\dim X - \dim Y_0 - 2d + 4)/2$. Then the following hold.*

1. *The term $J^c_{1,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)$ is absolutely convergent for* Re *s large enough and is equal to*

$$tofill \tag{5.2}$$

2. *The term $J^c_{2,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)$ is absolutely convergent for* Re *s large enough and is equal to*

$$tofill \tag{5.3}$$

3. *For* Re *s large enough, the term $J^\infty_{1,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)$ (i.e., without truncation) is absolutely convergent and thus, a fortiori, so is $J^c_{1,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)$. The value of $J^c_{1,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)$ is 0.*

(4) *The term* $J^c_{2,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)$ *is absolutely convergent for any* s *and is equal to* 0.

(5) *Assume that* $\dim Z + 3 > \dim Y_0/2$. *For* $\operatorname{Re} s$ *large enough, the term* $J^\infty_{1,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$ *(i.e., without truncation) is absolutely convergent and thus, a fortiori, so is* $I^c_{1,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$. *The value of* $I^c_{1,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$ *is* 0.

(6) *Assume that* $\dim Z + 3 > \dim Y_0/2$. *The term* $J^c_{2,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$ *is absolutely convergent for* $\operatorname{Re} s$ *large enough and the truncation parameter* c *large enough and is equal to* 0.

Under the above constraints on absolute convergence, the period of the truncated Eisenstein series is given by

$$\int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)\rtimes G(Z_1)]} \Lambda^c E(vg, s, f_s)\theta_{\psi,X_1,Y_0}(vg, 1, \Phi)dgdv = (5.2) - (5.3).$$

Remark 5.2. The computation of the value of $J^c_{i,\Omega_{1,0}}(s)$ uses the assumption that $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is not J_0^{d-2}-distinguished. The computation of the value of $J^c_{i,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$ uses the assumption that $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is neither J_0^{d-2}-distinguished nor J_1^{d-2} nor J_2^{d-4}-distinguished. The computation of the value of $I^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)$ also uses the assumption that $\sigma \otimes \Theta_{\psi,X,Y_0}$ is not J_0^{d-2}-distinguished.

We proceed to find the values of the integrals.

After parametrising the isotropic lines in V by $R \setminus G(V)$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} J^c_{i,\Omega_{1,0}}(s) &= \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]}\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{\eta\in R\setminus G(V)} \xi^c_i(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta vg)dgdv \\ &= \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]}\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{\eta\in R\setminus G(V)} \xi^c_i(\gamma_0 vg\eta)dgdv. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\gamma_0\mathcal{H}(Z_1)\rtimes G(Z_1)\gamma_0$ is a $J_1^{d-2} \subset G(X)$. For fixed η we get

$$\int_{[J_1^d]} \xi^c_1(vg\eta)dgdv = \hat{\tau}_c(H(\eta))\int_{[J_1^d]} f_s(vg\eta)\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(vg, 1, \Phi)dgdv.$$

This vanishes by assumption. Thus $I^c_{1,\Omega_{1,0}}(s) = 0$. An analogous argument shows that $I^c_{2,\Omega_{1,0}}(s) = 0$.

Just as in the computation of $I^c_{i,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^c_{i,\Omega_{1,1}}(s) &= \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]}\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{\eta\in R\setminus G(V)}\sum_{\delta\in Q^0_{1,Z_1'}\setminus G(Z_1')} \xi^c_i(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta\delta vg)dgdv \\ &= \sum_{\eta\in R\setminus G(V)}\int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]}\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{\delta\in Q^0_{1,Z_1'}\setminus G(Z_1')} \xi^c_i(\gamma_0\delta vg\eta)dgdv. \end{aligned}$$

Fix η we will show each term vanishes. Let H_1 be the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(Z_1)$ of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * & * & * \\ & 1 & & & * \\ & & I_Z & & * \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.4}$$

and H_2 the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(Z_1)$ consisting of elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & & & 0 \\ & & I_Z & & 0 \\ & & & 1 & * \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.5}$$

with respect to the basis $\check{x}_0, e_1^+, Z, e_1^-, x_0$. We have $H_1 \times H_2 = \mathcal{H}(Z_1)$. Decompose $Q^0_{1,Z_1'} \backslash G(Z_1')$ according to the $\mathcal{H}(Z_1) \rtimes G(Z_1)$-orbits or equivalently we consider the $\mathcal{H}(Z_1) \rtimes G(Z_1)$-orbits of non-zero isotropic vectors in Z_1'. The orbit representatives can be taken to be $a\check{x}_0$ for $a \in F^\times$, e_1^- and bx_0 for $b \in F^\times$. The stabilser of $a\check{x}_0$ in $\mathcal{H}(Z_1) \rtimes G(Z_1)$ is $G(Z_1)$, that of e_1^- is $H_1 \rtimes Q^0_{1,Z_1}$ and that of bx_0 is $\mathcal{H}(Z_1) \rtimes G(Z_1)$.

For fixed η, we decompose

$$\int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{\delta \in Q^0_{1,Z_1'} \backslash G(Z_1')} \xi_i^c(\gamma_0 \delta v g \eta) dg dv \tag{5.6}$$

into three parts according to the different types of orbits described above. We find that (5.6) is the sum of the following three terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1 &= \sum_{a \in F^\times} \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{H}(Z_1)} \xi_i^c(\gamma_0 \delta_{a\check{x}_0} \zeta v g \eta) dg dv; \\
\mathcal{J}_2 &= \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{\zeta \in H_2 \rtimes (Q^0_{1,Z_1} \backslash G(Z_1))} \xi_i^c(\gamma_0 \zeta v g \eta) dg dv; \\
\mathcal{J}_3 &= \sum_{b \in F^\times} \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \xi_i^c(\gamma_0 \delta_{bx_0} v g \eta) dg dv
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{a\check{x}_0}$ is an element in $G(Z_1')$ that sends e_1^- to $a\check{x}_0$ and $\delta_{b\check{x}_0}$ is an element in $G(Z_1')$ that sends e_1^- to bx_0. We use the following particular choices

$$\delta_{a\check{x}_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \delta_{bx_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & a & b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $e_1^+, \check{x}_0, x_0, e_1^-$.

For fixed $a \in F^\times$, the integral in cJ_1 unfolds to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{H}(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \xi_i^c(\gamma_0 \delta_{a\check{x}_0} v g \eta) dg dv \\ =& \int_{\mathcal{H}(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \xi_i^c(\gamma_0 \delta_{a\check{x}_0} g v \eta) dg dv. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\gamma_0 \delta_{a\check{x}_0} G(Z_1)(\gamma_0 \delta_{a\check{x}_0})^{-1} = G(Z')$. For $i = 1$, after changing variable, the inner integral becomes

$$\hat{\tau}_c(H(\gamma_0 \delta_{a\check{x}_0} v \eta)) \int_{[G(Z')]} f_s(g \gamma_0 \delta_{a\check{x}_0} v \eta) \theta_{X_1, Y_0}(g \gamma_0 \delta_{a\check{x}_0} v, 1, \Phi) dg.$$

This vanished by assumption. Vanishing for $i = 2$ is completely analogous.

The integral $\mathcal{J}_2$ unfolds to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{H_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[H_1]} \int_{Q^0_{1,Z_1}(F) \setminus G(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})} \xi_i^c(\gamma_0 v_1 v_2 g \eta) dg dv_1 dv_2 \\ =& \int_{H_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[H_1]} \int_{Q^0_{1,Z_1}(F) \setminus G(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})} \xi_i^c(\gamma_0 v_1 g v_2 \eta) dg dv_1 dv_2 \end{aligned}$$

The inner double integral is equal to

$$\int_{K_{Z_1}} \int_{[H_1]} \int_{[Q^0_{1,Z_1}]} \xi_i^c(\gamma_0 v_1 q k v_2 \eta) dq dv_1 dk.$$

The group $\gamma_0 H_1 \rtimes Q^0_{1,Z_1} \gamma_0^{-1}$ is a Jacobi group J_2^{d-4} consisting of elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * & * & * \\ & 1 & * & * & * \\ & & * & * & * \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\check{x}_0, \check{v}_0, Z, v_0, x_0$. Thus for $i = 1$ we have the inner integral

$$\hat{\tau}_c(H(\gamma_0 k v_2 \eta)) \int_{[J_2^d]} f_s(q\gamma_0 k v_2 \eta)\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(q\gamma_0 k v_2, 1, \Phi) dq$$

This vanishes by assumption. Vanishing for $i = 2$ is completely analogous.

Fix $b \in F^\times$ and consider the double integral in $\mathcal{J}_3$. Observe that

$$(\gamma_0 \delta_{bx_0})(\mathcal{H}(Z_1) \rtimes G(Z_1))(\gamma_0 \delta_{bx_0})^{-1} = J_1^{d-2}$$

where J_1^{d-2} is the fixator in $G(F\check{v}_0 \oplus Z' \oplus Fv_0)$ of v_0. Thus for $i = 1$ after changing variable, we have the integral

$$\hat{\tau}_c(H(\gamma_0 \delta_{bx_0})) \int_{[J_1^{d-2}]} f_s(g\gamma_0 \delta_{bx_0} \eta)\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(g\gamma_0 \delta_{bx_0} \eta, 1, \Phi) dg$$

This vanishes by assumption. Vanishing for $i = 2$ is completely analogous. Thus the double integral vanishes by assumption.

We come to the computation of the main orbit in the Jacobi period. As in the computation for $I^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)$, we have

$$J^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}}(s) = \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{\delta \in Q_{1,Z_1'} \setminus G(Z_1')} \xi_i^c(\delta v g) dg dv.$$

However we need to further decompose the orbit. The set $Q_{1,Z_1'} \setminus G(Z_1')$ parametrises the isotropic lines in Z_1'. and we consider the $\mathcal{H}(Z_1) \rtimes G(Z_1)$ action on it. The orbit representatives can be chosen to be $F\check{x}_0$, Fe_1^- and Fx_0. Set $\delta_{\check{x}_0}$ to be the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and δ_{x_0} to be the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $e_1^+, \check{x}_0, x_0, e_1^-$. Then $\delta_{\check{x}_0}$ corresponds to the isotropic line $F\check{x}_0$ and δ_{x_0} corresponds to the isotropic line Fx_0. Let H_1 and H_2 be two subgroups of $\mathcal{H}(Z_1)$ as defined in (5.4) and (5.5). The stabiliser of $F\check{x}_0$ in $\mathcal{H}(Z_1) \rtimes G(Z_1)$ is $G(Z_1)$, that of Fe_1^- is $H_1 \rtimes Q_{1,Z_1}$

and that of Fx_0 is $\mathcal{H}(Z_1) \rtimes G(Z_1)$. The analysis is similar to the case of $J^c_{i,\Omega_{1,1}}(s)$. Then

$$J^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}}(s) = \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{H}(Z_1)} \xi^c_i(\delta_{\check{x}_0} \zeta v g) dg dv \tag{5.7}$$

$$+ \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{\zeta \in H_2 \rtimes (Q_{1,Z_1} \setminus G(Z_1))} \xi^c_i(\zeta v g) dg dv \tag{5.8}$$

$$+ \int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z_1)]} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \xi^c_i(\delta_{x_0} v g) dg dv \tag{5.9}$$

After unfolding, the first integral in (5.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{H}(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \xi^c_i(\delta_{\check{x}_0} v g) dg dv \\ = &\int_{\mathcal{H}(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \xi^c_i(\delta_{\check{x}_0} g v) dg dv. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\delta_0 G(Z_1) \delta_0^{-1} = G(Z')$. For $i = 1$, after changing variable, the inner integral becomes

$$\hat{\tau}_c(H(\delta_0 v)) \int_{[G(Z')]} f_s(g \delta_0 v) \theta_{X_1, Y_0}(g \delta_0 v, 1, \Phi) dg$$

and it vanishes by assumption. Similarly for $i = 2$, the first integral in (5.7) also vanishes.

Since $\delta_{x_0} \mathcal{H}(Z_1) \rtimes G(Z_1) \delta_{x_0}^{-1}$ is a Q^0_{1,Z'_1}, after change of variable, the third integral in (5.7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[Q^0_{1,Z'_1}]} \xi^c_i(g \delta_{x_0}) dg \\ = &\int_{[G(Z')]} \int_{[N_{1,Z'_1}]} \xi^c_i(n h \delta_{x_0}) dn dh. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $i = 1$. Then

$$\xi^c_1(n h \delta_{x_0}) = \hat{\tau}_c(H(\delta_{x_0})) f_s(h \delta_{x_0}) \theta_{X_1, Y_0}(n h \delta_{x_0}, 1, \Phi).$$

The only term that depends on n is the theta series. We find that integration over n of the theta series is equal to

$$\theta_{X, Y_0}(h, 1, \Phi')$$

where $\Phi'(\cdot) = \omega_{X_1,Y_0}(\delta_{x_0}, 1)\Phi(0, \cdot)$. Then integration over h reads as follows

$$\hat{\tau}_c(H(\delta_{x_0})) \int_{[G(Z')]} f_s(h\delta_{x_0})\theta_{X,Y_0}(h, 1, \Phi')dh.$$

This vanishes by assumption. An analogous argument shows that vanishing when $i = 2$.

After unfolding and applying Iwasawa decomposition, the second integral in (5.7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{H_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[H_1]} \int_{Q_{1,Z_1}(F)\,\backslash\, G(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})} \xi_i^c(v_1v_2g)dgdv \\
=&\int_{H_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{K_{Z_1}} \int_{[N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}]} \int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]} \int_{[H_1]} \int_{[G(Z)]} \xi_i^c(v_1v_2nm_1(t)hk)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}} dhdv_1dtdndkdv_2 \\
=&\int_{H_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{K_{Z_1}} \int_{[N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}]} \int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]} \int_{[H_1]} \int_{[G(Z)]} \xi_i^c(nv_1v_2m_1(t)hk)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}} dhdv_1dtdndkdv_2 \\
=&\int_{H_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{K_{Z_1}} \int_{[N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}]} \int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]} \int_{[H_1]} \int_{[G(Z)]} \xi_i^c(nv_1m_1(t)hv_2k)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}-1} dhdv_1dtdndkdv_2
\end{aligned}$$

Assume $i = 1$ first. The above is equal to

$$\int_{H_2(\mathbb{A})} \int_{K_{Z_1}} \int_{[N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}]} \int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]} \int_{[H_1]} \int_{[G(Z)]} |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}-1} f_s(v_1m_1(t)hv_2k)$$
$$\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(nv_1m_1(t)hv_2k, 1, \Phi)\hat{\tau}_c(H(v_1m_1(t)hv_2))dhdv_1dtdndkdv_2.$$

Only the theta series involves n. As in the computation for $I^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)$ after integrating over n, the inner quadruple integration above is equal to

$$\int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]} \int_{[H_1]} \int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(v_1m_1(t)hv_2k)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}-1}$$
$$\theta_{X,Y_0}(1, 1, \omega_{X_1,Y_0}(v_1m_1(t)hv_2k, 1)\Phi(0, \cdot))\hat{\tau}_c(H(v_1m_1(t)hv_2))dhdv_1dt.$$

Observe that H_1 has a part lying in N_1, the unipotent radical of Q_1 and that $\omega_{X_1,Y_0}(u, 1)\Phi(0, \cdot) = \Phi(0, \cdot)$ for $u \in N_1(\mathbb{A})$. After quotienting out this part, what remains is the subgroup $\mathcal{H}(Z)$ of H_1 consisting of elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * & 0 & * \\ & 1 & & & 0 \\ & & I_Z & & * \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\check{x}_0, e_1^+, Z, e_1^-, x_0$. In fact $\mathcal{H}(Z)$ is a Heisenberg subgroup of $G(Z')$. In particular, $m_1(t)$ commutes with $v_1 \in \mathcal{H}(Z)(\mathbb{A})$. Then the above integral is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]}\int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z)]}\int_{[G(Z)]} |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}-1} f_s(m_1(t)v_1hv_2k)\\ &\theta_{X,Y_0}(1,1,\omega_{X_1,Y_0}(v_1m_1(t)hv_2k,1)\Phi(0,\cdot))\hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t)v_2))dhdv_1dt\\ &= \int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]}\int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z)]}\int_{[G(Z)]} |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}+\frac{1}{2}\dim Y_0-2\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}-1} f_s(v_1hv_2k)\\ &\qquad \theta_{X,Y_0}(v_1h,1,\Phi_{v_2k})\hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t)v_2))dhdv_1dt\end{aligned}$$

if we set $\Phi_{v_2k}(\cdot) = \omega_{X_1,Y_0}(v_2k,1)\Phi(0,\cdot)$. The exponent of $|t|_{\mathbb{A}}$ is equal to $s - s_0$ where

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y_0 - 2\operatorname{codim} Z + 4).$$

Note that $\hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t)v_2))$ does not vanish for $|t|_{\mathbb{A}} < \exp(c - H(v_2))$ where we have identified the one-dimensional space $\mathfrak{a}_{Q_1}$ with $\mathbb{R}$. Thus looking only at terms involving t, the integration over t is actually the integral:

$$\mathrm{vol}(F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^1)\int_0^{\exp(c-H(v_2))} t^{s-s_0}d^\times t,$$

which is absolutely convergent and is equal to

$$\mathrm{vol}(F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^1)\frac{\exp(c-H(v_2))^{s-s_0}}{s-s_0}$$

if $\mathrm{Re}\, s > s_0$. Thus $J^c_{1,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}(F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^1)\int_{H_2(\mathbb{A})}\int_{K_{Z_1}}\int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z)]}\int_{[G(Z)]} &f_s(v_1hv_2k)\theta_{X,Y_0}(v_1h,1,\Phi_{v_2k})\\ &\frac{\exp(c-H(v_2))^{s-s_0}}{s-s_0}dhdv_1dkdv_2.\end{aligned}$$

When $\mathrm{Re}\, s > -s_0$, a similar computation shows that $J^c_{2,\Omega_{0,1}}(s)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}(F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^1)\int_{H_2(\mathbb{A})}\int_{K_{Z_1}}\int_{[\mathcal{H}(Z)]}\int_{[G(Z)]} &M(w,s)f_s(v_1hv_2k)\theta_{X,Y_0}(v_1h,1,\Phi_{v_2k})\\ &\frac{\exp(c-H(v_2))^{-s-s_0}}{s+s_0}dhdv_1dkdv_2.\end{aligned}$$

6. On the Series in the Proof of Main Theorems

To ease the discussion below. We setup some auxiliary notation in addition to those in Sec. 2.1. Recall that V is the orthogonal complement to Z in X. Fix an (isotropic) vector v_0 in V and also a dual vector $\check{v}_0$ in V. Let W be the orthogonal complement to $\check{v}_0, v_0$ in V. Let R be the parabolic subgroup of $G(V)$ that stabilises Fv_0. For a scalar a, set

$$m_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & (a^*)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the dual basis e_1^+, e_1^- and set

$$m_1'(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & (a^*)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the dual basis $\check{v}_0, v_0$. For a 2×2-matrix a set

$$m_2(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & (a^*)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the dual basis $e_1^+, \check{v}_0, v_0, e_1^-$. Here $a^* = J{}^t aJ$ with J being the matrix with 1's on the antidiagonal and 0's everywhere else. Let w_2 be the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\check{v}_0, v_0$.

Due to the involvement of various groups, given a parabolic subgroup Q, we will denote by N_Q its unipotent radical. For any non-degenerate subspace Z of X_1, K_Z will always be $K_{X_1} \cap G(Z)(\mathbb{A})$. To make notation more compact, we will suppress the dependence of the theta series on the additive character ψ. Often taking F-rational points will be implicit. For example, we write $G(X)$ for $G(X)(F)$.

Temporarily let X be any vector space over F with a fixed basis. Let $x \in X(\mathbb{A})$. We define $||x||_v$ to be the maximal norm of the coordinates of x_v if v is finite, the usual Euclidean norm if v is real and the square of the usual Euclidean norm if v is complex. Then define $||x|| = \prod_v ||x||_v$.

6.1. **Bounds for cuspidal automorphic forms and theta series.**

We need some bounds in the later computation and we list the results here. We deviate from our usual notation. Let Z and W be two non-degenerate subspaces of X orthogonal to each other. Let $v_0 \in W$ and let R be the parabolic subgroup of $G(W)$ that stabilises the isotropic line Fv_0. Let $P_{0,Z}$ be the minimal parabolic subgroup of $G(Z)$ stabilising the complete isotropic flag $Z^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset Z^{(r_Z)}$ where r_Z is the Witt index of Z. Let P be the parabolic subgroup of $G(X)$ that stabilises the same isotropic flag. Let Q be the parabolic subgroup of $G(X)$ that stablises the isotropic flag $Fv_0 \subset Z^{(1)} \oplus Fv_0 \subset \cdots \subset Z^{(rz)} \oplus Fv_0$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G(X))$ and $\phi \in \sigma$. Then for all positive integer n, there exists a constant C such that*

$$|\phi(hg)| < C\rho_P^{-n}(h)$$

for all $h \in G(Z)(\mathbb{A})$ and $g \in G(W)(\mathbb{A})$.

Proof. Since h and g commute, via the Iwasawa decomposition we may assume that $h \in P_{0,Z}(\mathbb{A})$. Then $hg \in P(\mathbb{A})$ and $\rho_P(hg) = \rho_P(h)$. Then by [MS12, Thm. D], for all positive integer n, there exists a constant C such that

$$|\phi(hg)| < C\rho_P^{-n}(h).$$

□

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G(X))$ and $\phi \in \sigma$. Then for all positive integers n there exists a constant C such that*

$$|\phi(nhg)| < C\rho_Q^{-n}(hg)$$

for all $h \in G(Z)(\mathbb{A})$, $g \in R(\mathbb{A})$ and $n \in N_Q(\mathbb{A})$.

Proof. Since h commutes with g, via the Iwasawa decomposition, we may assume that $h \in P_{0,Z}(\mathbb{A})$. Then $hg \in Q(\mathbb{A})$. Then the lemma follows from [MS12, Thm. D]. □

Finally we need an estimate of theta series.

Lemma 6.3. *Fix $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1,Y}(\mathbb{A})$. There exists $C > 0$, $a > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that*

$$|\theta_{X_1,Y}(nm_1(t)hk, 1, \Phi)| < C(|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{\dim Y}{2}} + |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-a})||h||^R$$

for all $n \in N_1(\mathbb{A})$, $t \in \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{A})$, $h \in G(X)(\mathbb{A})$ and $k \in K_{X_1}$ where $||h||$ denotes the height of h.

Proof. First we fix a basis for Y and a symplectic basis for X. Thus we have a polarisation: $X = X^+ \oplus X^-$. The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}_{X_1,Y}(\mathbb{A})$ is realised on the space $Y \otimes \ell_1^+(\mathbb{A}) \oplus Y \otimes X^+(\mathbb{A})$. We may assume that n lies in a compact set. Then by continuity we only need to show the bound for fixed n. Furthermore by the explicit formula of Weil representation we may assume that n lies in the Levi of the Siegel parabolic of $G(X_1)(\mathbb{A})$. Furthermore via reduction theory we may assume that h lies in the Siegel domain $\omega A_{0,G(X)}(t_0)K_X$ of $G(X)$. We refer the reader to [MW95, I.2.1] for notation. The only difference is that we add in subscripts to indicate the group under consideration. Since

$$\cup_{a\in A_{0,G(X)}(t_0)} a^{-1}\omega a$$

is relatively compact in $P_{0,G(X)}(\mathbb{A})$, we may assume further that $h \in A_{0,G(X)}$. We may also assume that $t \in \mathbb{A}_\infty$. It is not essential if h lies in $A_{0,G(X)}(t_0)$ or not. Let $\kappa = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. Given $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we let τ_a be the element in $\mathbb{A}_\infty$ which is equal to $a^{1/\kappa}$ at any infinite place. Write $h = m(\tau_{a_1}, \dots, \tau_{a_r})$ for $a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ where $r = \dim X/2$. Let $a = \mathrm{diag}\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ and $\tau_a = \mathrm{diag}\{\tau_{a_1}, \dots, \tau_{a_r}\}$. We replace t by τ_t with $t \in R_{>0}$. We are reduced to showing that there exists $C > 0$, $a > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that

$$|\theta_{X_1,Y}(nm_1(\tau_t)hk, 1, \Phi)| < C(|t|^{\frac{\dim Y}{2}} + |t|^{-a})||h||^R$$

for all n, t, h as described above and all $k \in K'_{X_1}$ where K'_{X_1} is any compact subset of $G(X_1)(\mathbb{A})$.

We have

$$|\theta_{X_1,Y}(nm_1(t)hk, 1, \Phi)| = |\sum_{\substack{y\in Y\otimes\ell_1^+\\ w\in Y\otimes X^+}} \omega_{X_1,Y}(nm_1(\tau_t)hk, 1)\Phi(y, w)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{y\in Y\otimes\ell_1^+\\ w\in Y\otimes X^+}} |t \det a|^{\dim Y/2} |\omega_{X_1,Y}(k, 1)\Phi(y\tau_t, (y\mu + w)\tau_a)|,$$

where $\mu \in (\mathrm{Hom}_F(\ell_1^+, X))(\mathbb{A})$ is determined by n and can be viewed as a row vector of length $\dim X$. By [Wei64, Lemme 5] there exists $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{Y,X_1}(\mathbb{A})$ such that

$$|\omega_{X_1,Y}(k, 1)\Phi(y, w)| \leq \Phi_0(y, w)$$

for all $k \in K'_{X_1}$, $y \in Y \otimes \ell_1^+(\mathbb{A})$ and $w \in Y \otimes X^+(\mathbb{A})$. Henceforth we replace Φ by Φ_0 but still write it as Φ. The problem reduces to finding a bound for

$$\sum_{\substack{y\in Y\otimes\ell_1^+\\ w\in Y\otimes X^+}} \Phi(y\tau_t, (y\mu + w)\tau_a). \tag{6.1}$$

We may assume that $\Phi = \Phi_\infty \otimes \Phi_f$ with Φ_f being the characteristic function of some open compact subgroup $C_f^{(1)} \times C_f^{(2)}$ of $Y \otimes \ell_1^+(\mathbb{A}_f) \oplus Y \otimes X^+(\mathbb{A}_f)$. This open compact subgroup determines a lattice $\Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2$ in $Y \otimes \ell_1^+ \oplus Y \otimes X^+$. Furthermore we may assume that after suitably enlarging $C_f^{(2)}$, for all $y_f \in C_f^{(1)}$, $y_f\mu_f \in C_f^{(2)}$. Then (6.1) is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{y\in\Lambda_1\\ w\in\Lambda_2}} \Phi_\infty(y\tau_t, (y\mu_\infty + w)\tau_a). \tag{6.2}$$

We adapt the basis for $Y\otimes\ell_1^+ \oplus Y\otimes X^+$ to a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(Y\otimes\ell_1^+ \oplus Y\otimes X^+)$. In fact we just need to consider Y as a $\kappa\dim_F Y$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space. After scaling we can make Λ_1 and Λ_2 integral with respect to the basis. Then in our case, the bound in [Wei65, P. 21] reads

$$\Phi_\infty(y,w) \le C_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa\dim Y}\prod_{i=1}^{\dim X/2}(1+|y_j|^\alpha)^{-1}(1+|w_{ij}|^\alpha)^{-1}.$$

for $\alpha > 1$. Thus (6.2) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&C_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa\dim Y}\prod_{i=1}^{\dim X/2}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|t^{1/\kappa}n|^\alpha)^{-1}(1+|a_i^{1/\kappa}(n\mu_{\infty,j}+m)|^\alpha)^{-1}\\ \le &C'_\alpha \sup\{1,t^{-\alpha}\}^{\dim Y}\prod_{i=1}^{\dim X/2}\sup\{1,a_i^{-\alpha}\}^{\dim Y}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the theta series is bounded by the above multiplied by $|t\det a|^{\dim Y/2}$. Thus the t-part of the bound is bounded by $|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{\dim Y}{2}} + |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-c}$ and the h-part of the bound is bounded by

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\dim X/2}\sup\{a_i^{\dim Y/2}, a_i^{-c}\}$$

for some $c > 0$. We note that the height $||h||$ of h is as given in [MW95, I.2.2]. Thus we get our desired bound.

□

6.2. **The Terms $I^c_{i,\Omega_{1,0}}$.** Since $G(Z_1)$ acts trivially on $\Omega_{1,0}$, the orbit representatives are just isotropic lines in V. Fix an isotropic vector $v_0 \in V$ and also a dual vector $\check{v}_0 \in V$. Let W be the orthogonal complement of $\{\check{v}_0, v_0\}$ in V. Define $\gamma_0 \in G(X_1)$ to be the element

$$m_2(\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}).$$

Given an isotropic vector $v \in V$, if $v = v_0\eta$ for $\eta \in R(F)\setminus G(V)(F)$, we pick the representative $\gamma_v = \eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta$ in $Q_1\setminus G(X_1)$ that corresponds to the isotropic line Fv. The stabiliser $G(Z_1)^{\gamma_v}$ of Fv in $G(Z_1)$ is obviously $G(Z_1)$. Thus we need to check the absolute convergence and determine values of the integrals:

$$\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{\eta\in R\setminus G(V)} f_s(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta g)\hat{\tau}_c(H(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta g))\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)dg \tag{6.3}$$

and

$$\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{\eta\in R\setminus G(V)} M(w,s)f_s(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta g)\hat{\tau}^c(H(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta g))\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)dg. \tag{6.4}$$

Consider the first integral. The term $f_s(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta g)$ is equal to

$$f_s(\gamma_0\eta g)=f_s(\gamma_0 g\eta)=f_s(h\gamma_0\eta)$$

where $h=\gamma_0 g\gamma_0^{-1}\in G(F\check{v}_0\oplus Z\oplus Fv_0)(\mathbb{A})$. It suffices to show the absolute convergence of

$$\int_{[G(F\check{v}_0\oplus Z\oplus Fv_0)]}\sum_{\eta\in R\setminus G(V)} f_s(h\gamma_0\eta)\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(h\gamma_0,1,\Phi)dh.$$

Take $\{1\}\sqcup w_2N_R$ to be a system of representatives for $R\setminus G(V)$. The integral

$$\int_{[G(F\check{v}_0\oplus Z\oplus Fv_0)]} f_s(h\gamma_0)\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(h\gamma_0,1,\Phi)dh$$

is absolutely convergent by Prop. 3.2. We study the remaining terms

$$\int_{[G(F\check{v}_0\oplus Z\oplus Fv_0)]}\sum_{\eta\in N_R} f_s(h\gamma_0 w_2\eta)\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(h\gamma_0,1,\Phi)dh.$$

For $\eta\in N_R(F)$ we have that

$$\gamma_0 w_2\eta=\bar{\eta}\gamma_0 w_2$$

for some $\bar{\eta}\in\bar{N}_{Q_{1,W_1}}(F)$. Write $\bar{\eta}$ as $n_{\bar{\eta}}m_1(a_{\bar{\eta}})g_{\bar{\eta}}k_{\bar{\eta}}$ for $n_{\bar{\eta}}\in N_{Q_{1,W_1}}(\mathbb{A})$, $a_{\bar{\eta}}\in\mathbb{A}^\times$, $g_{\bar{\eta}}\in G(W)(\mathbb{A})$ and $k_{\bar{\eta}}\in K_{W_1}$ according to the Iwasawa decomposition of $G(W_1)(\mathbb{A})$. Then the above integral is equal to

$$\int_{[G(F\check{v}_0\oplus Z\oplus Fv_0)]}\sum_{\bar{\eta}\in\bar{N}_{1,W_1}} |a_{\bar{\eta}}|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}} f_s(hg_{\bar{\eta}}k_{\bar{\eta}})\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(h\gamma_0,1,\Phi)dh.$$

By Lemma 6.1 for all $R>0$ there exists C such that

$$|f_s(hg_{\bar{\eta}}k_{\bar{\eta}})|<C\rho_P^{-R}(h)$$

where P is as in loc. cit. Thus we are reduced to showing absolute convergence of

$$\sum_{\bar{\eta}\in\bar{N}_{Q_{1,W_1}}} |a_{\bar{\eta}}|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}}.$$

We note that $||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||$ is comparable to

$$||e_1^- n_{\bar{\eta}} m_1(a_{\bar{\eta}}) g_{\bar{\eta}}|| = |a_{\bar{\eta}}|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}$$

Thus for $\operatorname{Re} s$ large enough the series is bounded by an Eisenstein series associated to the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{Q_{1,W_1}(\mathbb{A})}^{G(W_1(\mathbb{A}))} |\ |_{\mathbb{A}}^{s'}$ for $s' = s + \rho_{Q_1} - \rho_{Q_{1,W_1}}$ and so is absolutely convergent.

For the value of the integral we exchange order of summation and integration. For each fixed $\eta \in R(F) \setminus G(V)(F)$, we have

$$\int_{[G(Z_1)]} f_s(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta g)\hat{\tau}_c(H(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta g))\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(g, 1, \Phi)dg$$

$$= \int_{[G(F\check{v}_0 \oplus Z \oplus Fv_0)]} f_s(h\gamma_0\eta)\hat{\tau}_c(H(\gamma_0\eta))\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(h\gamma_0, 1, \Phi)dh.$$

Then by Prop. 3.2, the value is 0.

For the integral (6.4), a similar computation leads to the integral

$$\int_{[G(F\check{v}_0 \oplus Z \oplus Fv_0)]} \sum_{\eta \in R \setminus G(V)} M(w, s) f_s(h\gamma_0\eta)\hat{\tau}^c(H(\gamma_0\eta))\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(h\gamma_0, 1, \Phi)dh.$$

Because of the truncation operation the inner sum is a finite sum. Then Prop. 3.2 directly implies that it is absolutely convergent and is equal to 0.

6.3. **The Terms $I^c_{i,\Omega_{1,1}}$.**

We may take $x = v + e_1^-$ for v an isotropic vector in V taken up to scalar as orbit representatives of $\Omega_{1,1}/G(Z_1)$. Let R be the parabolic subgroup of $G(V)$ that stabilises Fv_0. Then we parametrise the isotropic lines in V by $R \setminus G(V)$. Define $\gamma_0 \in G(X_1)$ to be the element

$$m_2(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}).$$

Then γ_0 represents the element in $Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)$ that corresponds to Fv_0. If $v = v_0\eta$ for some $\eta \in R \setminus G(V)$ then define $\gamma_{v+e_1^-}$ to be $\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta$. The stabiliser $G(Z_1)^{\gamma_0}$ of $F(v_0+e_1^-)$ in $G(Z_1)$ consists of elements δ such that $(v_0+e_1^-)\delta = k(v_0+e_1^-)$ for some $k \in F^\times$. Thus $v_0 = kv_0$ and $e_1^-\delta = ke_1^-$, from which we find that $k = 1$ and δ fixes e_1^-. Let Q_{1,Z_1} be the parabolic subgroup of $G(Z_1)$ that stabilises ℓ_1^- and Q^0_{1,Z_1} consist of those elements that acts as identity on ℓ_1^-. Then $G(Z_1)^{\gamma_0} = G(Z_1)^{\gamma_{v+e_1^-}} = Q^0_{1,Z_1}$.

We need to check the absolute convergence and find the values of the two integrals:

$$\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{\eta\in R\backslash G(V)}\sum_{\delta\in Q^0_{1,Z_1}\backslash G(Z_1)} f_s(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta\delta g)\hat{\tau}_c(H(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta\delta g))\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)dg \tag{6.5}$$

and

$$\int_{[G(Z_1)]}\sum_{\eta\in R\backslash G(V)}\sum_{\delta\in Q^0_{1,Z_1}\backslash G(Z_1)} M(w,s)f_s(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta\delta g)\hat{\tau}^c(H(\eta^{-1}\gamma_0\eta\delta g))\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)dg. \tag{6.6}$$

We deal with the first integral. Formally it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{Q^0_{1,Z_1}(F)\backslash G(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})}\sum_{\eta\in R\backslash G(V)} f_s(\gamma_0\eta g)\hat{\tau}_c(H(\gamma_0\eta g))\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(g,1,\Phi)dg\\ =&\int_{K_{Z_1}}\int_{[N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}]}\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\int_{[G(Z)]}\sum_{\eta\in R\backslash G(V)} f_s(\gamma_0\eta n m_1(t)hk)\hat{\tau}_c(H(\gamma_0\eta n m_1(t)h))\\ &\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(nm_1(t)hk,1,\Phi)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}}dhd^\times tdndk\end{aligned}\tag{6.7}$$

where $K_{Z_1}=K_{X_1}\cap G(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})$ and N_{Q1,Z_1} is the unipotent radical of Q_{1,Z_1}.

We take $\{1\}\sqcup w_2N_R$ to be a system of representatives for $R\backslash G(V)$. After plugging in the bound for θ_{X_1,Y_0} from Lemma 6.3, we find that the integrand is bounded by a constant times

$$\sum_{\eta\in R\backslash G(V)} f_s(\gamma_0\eta n m_1(t)hk)\hat{\tau}_c(H(\gamma_0\eta n m_1(t)h))(|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\dim Y_0/2}+|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-a})|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}}||h||^r$$

for some $a,r>0$. Observe that if $\dim Z+1>\dim Y_0/2$ (or equivalently $\dim X+3>\dim Y/2$) then the exponents of $|t|_{\mathbb{A}}$ are less than -1. We now show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(\gamma_0 n m_1(t)h)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-a}||h||^r dhd^\times t$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\int_{[G(Z)]}\sum_{\eta\in R} f_s(\gamma_0 w_2\eta n m_1(t)h)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-a}||h||^r dhd^\times t$$

are absolutely convergent for any $a > 1$ and $\mathrm{Re}\, s$ large enough. The truncation does not play an important role here.

We analyse the term $f_s(\gamma_0 n m_1(t) h)$. Let $N_{\check{v}_0, Z, v_0}$ denote the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of $G(F\check{v}_0 \oplus Z \oplus Fv_0)$ that stabilises Fv_0. Conjugating γ_0 across n we get

$$\gamma_0 n = n' \gamma_0$$

for some $n' \in N_{\check{v}_0, Z, v_0}(\mathbb{A})$. Then conjugate $m_1(t)$ across γ_0 we get

$$\gamma_0 m_1(t) = m_2(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -t^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}).$$

Thus

$$f_s(\gamma_0 n m_1(t) h) = f_s(n' h m_2(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -t^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}) m_2(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})) \tag{6.8}$$

Thus after changing variable $t \mapsto -t^{-1}$, it reduces to showing absolute convergence of

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times} \int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(n' h m_2(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix})) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^a ||h||^r dh d^\times t.$$

or the following since the integral over n' does not change if we use instead

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times} \int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(n' h m_2(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix})) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^a ||h||^r dh d^\times t. \tag{6.9}$$

Next we analyse the term $f_s(\gamma_0 w_2 \eta n m(t, h))$. Keep conjugating elements across we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_s(\gamma_0 w_2 \eta n m_1(t) h) \\
= & f_s(w_2 \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \\ \hline 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & & -1 & \\ & 1 & & -1 \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{array}\right) \eta n m_1(t) h) \\
= & f_s(\bar{\eta} n' w_2 \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \\ \hline 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & & -1 & \\ & 1 & & -1 \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{array}\right) m_1(t) h) \\
= & f_s(n' h \bar{\eta} w_2 \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \\ \hline 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & & -1 & \\ & 1 & & -1 \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{array}\right) m_1(t)) \qquad (6.10)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\bar{\eta} \in \bar{N}_{Q_{1,W_1}}(F)$ and $n \in N_{\check{v}_0,Z,v_0}(\mathbb{A})$. Now we conjugate $m_1(t)$ across. We get

$$f_s(n'h\bar{\eta}m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t\end{pmatrix})m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ -t^{-1} & 1\end{pmatrix})w_2\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \\ \hline 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{array}\right))$$

After changing t to $-t^{-1}$ we are reduced to showing the absolute convergence of

(6.11)

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\int_{[G(Z)]}\sum_{\bar{\eta}\in\bar{N}_{Q_{1,W_1}}(F)} f_s(n'h\bar{\eta}m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix}))|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{a}||h||^{r}dhd^\times t.$$

Note the similarity of the above to (6.9). We may just combine the two cases.

We decompose the element

$$\bar{\eta}m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix}) = m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix})\bar{\eta} \in G(V_1)(\mathbb{A})$$

via the Iwasawa decomposition. First decompose $\bar{\eta} \in \bar{N}_{Q_{1,W_1}}(F)$ as

$$n_{\bar{\eta}}m_1(a_{\bar{\eta}})g_{\bar{\eta}}k_{\bar{\eta}}$$

for $n_{\bar{\eta}} \in N_{Q_{1,W_1}}(\mathbb{A})$, $a_{\bar{\eta}} \in A^\times$, $g_{\bar{\eta}} \in G(W)(\mathbb{A})$ and $k_{\bar{\eta}} \in K_{W_1}$. Assume that

$$n_{\bar{\eta}} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & \mu & \alpha \\ & I_W & \nu \\ & & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\{e_1^+, W, e_1^{-1}\}$. Then

$$m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix})n_{\bar{\eta}}m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & & \mu & \alpha & \alpha \\ & 1 & \mu & \alpha & \alpha \\ & & I_W & \nu & \nu \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\{e_1^+, \check{v}_0, W, v_0, e_1^{-1}\}$. Let $n'_{\bar{\eta}}$ be the element

$$\begin{pmatrix}1 & \mu & \alpha \\ & I_W & \nu \\ & & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\{\check{v}_0, W, v_0\}$. Note that it only depends on $\bar{\eta}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_s(n'h\bar{\eta}m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix}))\\
=&f_s(n'hn'_{\bar{\eta}}m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix})m_1(a_{\bar{\eta}})g_{\bar{\eta}}k_{\bar{\eta}})\\
=&f_s(n'hn'_{\bar{\eta}}m_1(a_{\bar{\eta}})g_{\bar{\eta}}m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ a_{\bar{\eta}}t & 1\end{pmatrix})k_{\bar{\eta}})
\end{aligned}$$

After changing variable $t \mapsto a_{\bar{\eta}}^{-1}t$ we get

$$f_s(n'hn'_{\bar{\eta}}m_1(a_{\bar{\eta}})g_{\bar{\eta}}m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & a_{\bar{\eta}}t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix})k_{\bar{\eta}}). \tag{6.12}$$

Consider the Iwasawa decomposition of

$$\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Fix $t \in \mathbb{A}^\times$. Let S be the set of finite places v such that $t_v \notin \mathcal{O}_v$. Let t_∞ be the archimedean part of t. Let t_1 be the finite idele with $t_{1,v} = t_v$ for $v \in S$ and $t_{1,v} = 1$ for $v \notin S$ and t_2 be the finite idele with $t_{2,v} = t_v$ for $v \notin S$ and $t_{2,v} = 1$ for $v \in S$. We get a decomposition of t as $t_\infty t_1 t_2$. Let $T_\infty = (t_\infty \bar{t}_\infty + 1)^{1/2}$. Here bar denotes complex conjugation. Then we have the Iwasawa decomposition

$$m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ t & 1\end{pmatrix}) = m_2(\begin{pmatrix}T_\infty^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & T_\infty\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \bar{t}_\infty\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}t_1^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & t_1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & t_1\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix})k_t$$

for some $k_t \in K_{\mathrm{Span}_F\{e_1^+, \check{v}_0, v_0, e_1^-\}}$. Then (6.12) is equal to

$$|a_{\bar{\eta}}T_\infty^{-1}t_1^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}} f_s(n'hn'_{\bar{\eta}}g_{\bar{\eta}}m'_1(T_\infty t_\infty^{-1}t_2^{-1}a_{\bar{\eta}})k_t k_{\bar{\eta}}).$$

By Lemma 6.2, for any positive integer n there exists a constant C such that

$$|f_s(n'hn'_{\bar{\eta}}g_{\bar{\eta}}m'_1(T_\infty t_\infty^{-1}t_2^{-1}a_{\bar{\eta}})k_t k_{\bar{\eta}})| < C(|T_\infty t_\infty^{-1}t_2^{-1}a_{\bar{\eta}}|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\dim X}\rho_Q^2(h))^{-n}$$

for all $n' \in N_{\check{v}_0, Z, v_0}(\mathbb{A})$, $t \in \mathbb{A}^\times$, $\bar{\eta} \in N_{1,W_1}(F)$, $g \in G(W)(\mathbb{A})$, $h \in G(\mathbb{A})$. and $k \in K_{X_1}$. Here Q is the parabolic subgroup of $G(X)$ as defined in loc. cit.

Observe that

$$|a_{\bar{\eta}}T_\infty^{-1}t_1^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}}|T_\infty t_\infty^{-1}t_2^{-1}a_{\bar{\eta}}|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-n\dim X} < |a_{\bar{\eta}}T_\infty^{-1}t_1^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}-n\dim X}.$$

Fix n such that integration over h is absolutely convergent. We note that

$$|a_{\bar{\eta}}T_\infty^{-1}t_1^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}} = \exp(H(\bar{\eta}m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix})(1)))$$

and so is comparable to

$$||e_1^- \bar{\eta} m_2(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix})||^{-1} = ||e_1^- \eta - tv_0||^{-1}.$$

Now we are reduced to proving absolute convergence of

$$\sum_{\bar{\eta}} \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times} ||e_1^- \bar{\eta} - tv_0||^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1})} |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^a d^\times t.$$

Fix $\bar{\eta}$. For a finite place v the local integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{F_v^\times} ||e_1^- \bar{\eta} - tv_0||_v^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1})} |t|_v^a d^\times t \\
=&\int_{F_v^\times} \max\{||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||_v, |t|_v\}^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1})} |t|_v^a d^\times t \\
=&\int_{|t|_v \le ||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||_v} ||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||_v^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1})} |t|_v^a d^\times t + \int_{|t|_v > ||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||_v} |t|_v^{(-(s+\rho_{Q_1}-a))} d^\times t \\
=&||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||_v^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1}-a)} \frac{L_v(a) L_v(s+\rho_{Q_1}-a)}{L_v(s+\rho_{Q_1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the product over finite v of the local integrals are absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}\, s$ large enough. For a real place v the local integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{F_v^\times} ||e_1^- \bar{\eta} - tv_0||_v^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1})} |t|_v^a d^\times t \\
=&\int_{F_v^\times} (||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||_v^2 + |t|_v^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(s+\rho_{Q_1})} |t|_v^a d^\times t \\
=&||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||_v^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1}-a)} \int_{F_v^\times} (1 + |t|_v^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(s+\rho_{Q_1})} |t|_v^a d^\times t
\end{aligned}$$

and for a complex place v the local integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{F_v^\times} ||e_1^- \bar{\eta} - tv_0||_v^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1})} |t|_v^a d^\times t \\
=&\int_{F_v^\times} (||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||_v + |t|_v)^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1})} |t|_v^a d^\times t \\
=&||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||_v^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1}-a)} \int_{F_v^\times} (1 + |t|_v)^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1})} |t|_v^a d^\times t
\end{aligned}$$

They are both absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}\, s$ large enough. Finally the summation over $\bar{\eta}$ is

$$\sum_{\bar{\eta}} ||e_1^- \bar{\eta}||^{-(s+\rho_{Q_1}-a)} \tag{6.13}$$

multiplied with certain Dedekind L-functions. The sum (6.13) is bounded by an Eisenstein series on $G(W_1)$ associated to the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{Q_{1,W_1}(\mathbb{A})}^{G(W_1)(\mathbb{A})} |\ |_{\mathbb{A}}^{s'}$ for $s' = s + \rho_{Q_1} - a - \rho_{Q_{1,W_1}} = s + \frac{1}{2}\dim Z + 1 - a$. Hence it is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}\, s$ large enough.

For the other integral (6.6), truncation is essential. In much the same way we arrive at the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\int_{[G(Z)]}\sum_{\bar\eta\in\bar N_{1,W_1}(F)} M(w,s)f_s(n'h\bar\eta m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix})))|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{a}||h||^{r}$$
$$\hat{\tau}^{c}(H(\bar\eta m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix}))))dhd^{\times}t.$$

Because of the truncation the terms are non-zero only if

$$c < \exp(H(\bar\eta m_2(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & t^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ t & 1\end{pmatrix})))(1))$$

On the other hand, there exists a constant d independent of t such that the right-hand side is bounded by

$$d||e_1^{-}\bar\eta - tv_0||^{-1} \le d||e_1^{-}\bar\eta||^{-1} \le d$$

since $||e_1^{-}\bar\eta||$ has lower bound 1 as $\bar\eta$ varies in $\bar N_{1,W_1}$. Thus if $c > d$ then all the terms are 'truncated'. We conclude that for the truncation parameter c large enough, (6.6) is absolutely convergent.

Now we compute the values of (6.5) and (6.6) in the absolute convergence range. We start from (6.7). For all $\eta \in \{1\} \sqcup w_2 N_R$ we actually have

$$\gamma_0\eta n m_1(t)h = n'hg$$

for $n' \in N_{\check v_0, Z, v_0}(\mathbb{A})$ and some $g \in G(X_1)(\mathbb{A})$ depending on η and t. (c.f. (6.8) and (6.10) where equalities hold without applying f_s). We can freely change order since the integral is absolutely convergent. Consider the integral over h and n

$$\int_{[N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}]}\int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(\gamma_0\eta n m_1(t)hk)\hat\tau_c(H(\gamma_0\eta n m_1(t)h))$$
$$\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(nm_1(t)hk, 1, \Phi)dhdn.$$

It is of the form

$$\int_{[N_{\check v_0,Z,v_0}]}\int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(n'hg)\hat\tau_c(H(n'hg))\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(n'hg, 1, \Phi)dhdn'$$
$$=\int_{[N_{\check v_0,Z,v_0}]}\int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(n'hg)\hat\tau_c(H(g))\theta_{X_1,Y_0}(n'hg, 1, \Phi)dhdn'$$

The truncation part can be taken out of the integral. Then by Prop. 3.2 the above integral is equal to 0. The value of (6.6) is also 0 from an analogous computation.

6.4. **The Terms $I^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}}$.** The set $\Omega_{0,1}$ has exactly one $G(Z_1)$-orbit. We take the representative 1 which corresponds to the isotropic line ℓ_1^- in X_1 or even in Z_1. The stabilizer of ℓ_1^- in $G(Z_1)$ is the parabolic subgroup Q_{1,Z_1}. Let $K_{Z_1} = K_{X_1} \cap G(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I^c_{i,\Omega_{0,1}} &= \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \sum_{x\in\Omega_{0,1}/G(Z_1)} \sum_{\delta\in G(Z_1)^{\gamma_x}\setminus G(Z_1)} \xi_i^c(\gamma_x\delta g)dg \\ &= \int_{Q_{1,Z_1}(F)\setminus G(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})} \xi_i^c(g)dg = \int_{K_{Z_1}}\int_{[Q_{1,Z_1}]} \xi_i^c(pk)dpdk. \end{aligned}$$

We write p as $nm_1(t)h$ for $t\in\mathbb{A}^\times$, $h\in G(Z)(\mathbb{A})$ and n in the adele points of the unipotent radical $N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}$ of Q_{1,Z_1}. Then the above is equal to

$$\int_{K_{Z_1}}\int_{[G(Z)]}\int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]}\int_{[N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}]} \xi_i^c(nm_1(t)hk)\rho^{-2}_{Q_{1,Z_1}}(m_1(t))dnd^\times tdhdk.$$

We need to show absolute convergence and find the values of

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{K_{Z_1}}\int_{[G(Z)]}\int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]}\int_{[N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}]} f_s(m_1(t)hk)\hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t)))\rho^{-2}_{Q_{1,Z_1}}(m_1(t)) \\ &\qquad\qquad \theta_{X_1,Y_0}(nm_1(t)hk,1,\Phi)dnd^\times tdhdk \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{K_{Z_1}}\int_{[G(Z)]}\int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]}\int_{[N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}]} M(w,s)f_s(m_1(t)hk)\hat{\tau}^c(H(m_1(t)))\rho^{-2}_{Q_{1,Z_1}}(m_1(t)) \\ &\qquad\qquad \theta_{X_1,Y_0}(nm_1(t)hk,1,\Phi)dnd^\times tdhdk. \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

In both cases, using the explicit formula for Weil representation and the fact that Y_0 is anisotropic, the inner integral over n gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[N_{Q_{1,Z_1}}]} \theta_{X_1,Y_0}(nm_1(t)hk, 1, \Phi)dn \\
=&\int_{[\mathrm{Hom}(\ell_1^+, Z)]} \sum_{w \in (Y_0 \otimes X)^+} \omega_{X_1,Y_0}(n(\mu, 0)m_1(t)hk, 1)\Phi(0, w)d\mu \\
=&\sum_{w \in (Y_0 \otimes X)^+} \omega_{X_1,Y_0}(m_1(t)hk, 1)\Phi(0, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Writing out the explicit action of t, we get

$$\chi_Y(t)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\dim Y_0/2}\theta_{X,Y_0}(h, 1, \Phi_k).$$

where $\Phi_k(\cdot) = \omega_{X_1,Y_0}(k, 1)\Phi(0, \cdot)$. Plugging the above into (6.14) and noting that $f_s(m_1(t)hk) = \chi_{Y_0}(t)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}} f_s(hk)$, we find that the inner integral over t is equal to the product of $f_s(hk)\theta_{X,Y_0}(h, 1, \Phi_k)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]} \chi_{Y_0}(t)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\dim Y_0/2}\chi_{Y_0}(t)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}}\hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t)))\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}^{-2}(m_1(t))d^\times t \\
=&\int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]} |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\dim Y_0/2}|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}}\hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t)))\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}^{-2}(m_1(t))d^\times t \\
=&\int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]} |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)}\hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t)))d^\times t \\
=&\mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^1) \int_0^c t^{s-\frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)}d^\times t.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume $\mathrm{Re}\, s > \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)$. Then the above is absolutely convergent and is equal to

$$\mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^1)\frac{c^{s-\frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)}}{s - \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)}. \tag{6.16}$$

Hence $I_{1,\Omega_{0,1}}^c$ is equal to (6.16) times

$$\int_{K_{Z_1}} \int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(hk)\theta_{X,Y_0}(h, 1, \Phi_k)dhdk.$$

By Prop. 3.2 the inner integral over h is absolutely convergent and there exists Z such that it is non-vanishing for some choice of data. To show absolute convergence we note that the integrand is bounded by a constant times

$$|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}-2\rho_{Q_{1,Z_1}}}\hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t)))f_s(hk)||h||^R$$

by Lemma 6.3 for some $a, R > 0$. From computation above, integration over t is absolutely convergent when $\mathrm{Re}\, s$ is large enough and integration over h is absolutely convergent because $h \mapsto f_s(hk)$ is rapidly decreasing.

When $\mathrm{Re}\, s > -\frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)$, an analogous computation shows that $I^c_{2,\Omega_{0,1}}$ is absolutely convergent and is equal to

$$\mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^1) \frac{C^{-s-\frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)}}{s + \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim Y + 2)}$$

times

$$\int_{K_{Z_1}} \int_{[G(Z)]} M(w,s) f_s(hk) \theta_{X,Y_0}(h, 1, \Phi_k) dh dk.$$

Again by Prop. 3.2 the inner integral over h is absolutely convergent and there exists Z such that it is non-vanishing for some choice of data. Absolute convergence is shown in a similar fashion when $\mathrm{Re}\, s$ is large enough.

References

[Art78] James G. Arthur. A trace formula for reductive groups. I. Terms associated to classes in $G(\mathbf{Q})$. *Duke Math. J.*, 45(4):911–952, 1978.

[Art80] James Arthur. A trace formula for reductive groups. II. Applications of a truncation operator. *Compositio Math.*, 40(1):87–121, 1980.

[Art13] James Arthur. *The endoscopic classification of representations*, volume 61 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. Orthogonal and symplectic groups.

[BMM12] Nicolas Bergeron, John Millson, and Colette Moeglin. Hodge type theorems for arithmetic manifolds associated to orthogonal groups. arXiv:1110.3049v2, 2012.

[BMM14] Nicolas Bergeron, John Millson, and Colette Moeglin. The hodge conjecture and arithmetic quotients of complex balls. arXiv:1306.1515v2, 2014.

[GJRS11] David Ginzburg, Dihua Jiang, Stephen Rallis, and David Soudry. L-functions for symplectic groups using Fourier-Jacobi models. In *Arithmetic geometry and automorphic forms*, volume 19 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 183–207. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[GJS09] David Ginzburg, Dihua Jiang, and David Soudry. Poles of L-functions and theta liftings for orthogonal groups. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 8(4):693–741, 2009.

[GPSR87] Stephen Gelbart, Ilya Piatetski-Shapiro, and Stephen Rallis. *Explicit constructions of automorphic L-functions*, volume 1254 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[GQT14] Wee Teck Gan, Yannan Qiu, and Shuichiro Takeda. The regularized siegel-weil formula (the second term identity) and the rallis inner product formula. arXiv:1207.4709v3, 2014.

[GRS11] David Ginzburg, Stephen Rallis, and David Soudry. *The descent map from automorphic representations of* GL(n) *to classical groups*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.

[HH12] Hongyu He and Jerome William Hoffman. Picard groups of Siegel modular 3-folds and θ-liftings. *J. Lie Theory*, 22(3):769–801, 2012.

[How81] Roger Howe. Automorphic forms of low rank. In *Non-commutative harmonic analysis and Lie groups (Marseille, 1980)*, volume 880 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 211–248. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.

[Ich01] Atsushi Ichino. On the regularized Siegel-Weil formula. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 539:201–234, 2001.

[Ike92] Tamotsu Ikeda. On the location of poles of the triple L-functions. *Compositio Math.*, 83(2):187–237, 1992.

[Ike96a] Tamotsu Ikeda. On the residue of the eisenstein series and the siegel-weil fomula. *Compositio Math.*, 103(2):183–218, 1996.

[Ike96b] Tamotsu Ikeda. On the residue of the Eisenstein series and the Siegel-Weil formula. *Compositio Math.*, 103(2):183–218, 1996.

[Jia14] Dihua Jiang. Automorphic integral transforms for classical groups i: Endoscopy correspondences. In James W. Cogdell, Freydoon Shahidi, and David Soudry, editors, *Automorphic Forms and Related Geometry: Assessing the Legacy of I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro*, volume 614 of *Contemporary Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014.

[JR92] Hervé Jacquet and Stephen Rallis. Symplectic periods. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 423:175–197, 1992.

[JW14a] Dihua Jiang and Chenyan Wu. On (χ, b)-factors of cuspidal automorphic representations of unitary groups I. Accepted by J. Number Theory. arXiv:1409.0767v3, 2014.

[JW14b] Dihua Jiang and Chenyan Wu. On (χ, b)-factors of cuspidal automorphic representations of unitary groups, II. preprint, 2014.

[KR90] Stephen S. Kudla and Stephen Rallis. Poles of Eisenstein series and L-functions. In *Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Part II (Ramat Aviv, 1989)*, volume 3 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 81–110. Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1990.

[KR94] Stephen S. Kudla and Stephen Rallis. A regularized Siegel-Weil formula: the first term identity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 140(1):1–80, 1994.

[Kud96] Stephen Kudla. Notes on the local theta correspondence. Notes from 10 lectures given at the European School on Group Theory, September 1996.

[Lan71] Robert P. Langlands. *Euler products*. Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn.-London, 1971. A James K. Whittemore Lecture in Mathematics given at Yale University, 1967, Yale Mathematical Monographs, 1.

[Li92] Jian-Shu Li. Nonexistence of singular cusp forms. *Compositio Math.*, 83(1):43–51, 1992.

[Mœg97a] Colette Mœglin. Non nullité de certains relêvements par séries théta. *J. Lie Theory*, 7(2):201–229, 1997.

[Mœg97b] Colette Mœglin. Quelques propriétés de base des séries théta. *J. Lie Theory*, 7(2):231–238, 1997.

[MS12] Stephen D. Miller and Wilfried Schmid. On the rapid decay of cuspidal automorphic forms. *Adv. Math.*, 231(2):940–964, 2012.

[MW95] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series*, volume 113 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Une paraphrase de l'Écriture [A paraphrase of Scripture].

[PSR88] I. Piatetski-Shapiro and S. Rallis. A new way to get Euler products. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 392:110–124, 1988.

[Sha10] Freydoon Shahidi. *Eisenstein series and automorphic L-functions*, volume 58 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.

[She13] Xin Shen. *Unramified computation of tensor L-functions on symplectic groups*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013.

Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Minnesota.

[Wei64] André Weil. Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires. *Acta Math.*, 111:143–211, 1964.

[Wei65] André Weil. Sur la formule de Siegel dans la théorie des groupes classiques. *Acta Math.*, 113:1–87, 1965.

[Yam14] Shunsuke Yamana. L-functions and theta correspondence for classical groups. *Invent. Math.*, 196(3):651–732, 2014.

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
E-mail address: `dhjiang@math.umn.edu`

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
E-mail address: `cywu@umn.edu`